

Problem 1

Part a

It's clear that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise. As for g_n , note that $g_n(0) \rightarrow 0$ whereas for $x \neq 0$, $g_n(x) \rightarrow \frac{x}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x}$. So

$$g_n(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}, & 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Part b

The convergence of g_n cannot be uniform. If it were, then since g_n is continuous, it follows that its pointwise limit is continuous, but it is not.

The convergence of f_n is uniform because

$$\frac{nx^2}{1+n^2x^2} \leq \frac{nx^2}{n^2x^2} = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Problem 2

We have that for all n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{[-1,1]} f(x) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| f\left(\frac{1}{2k}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) \right| = \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{\pm 1}{(2k)^{1/5}} - \frac{\mp 1}{(2k+2)^{1/5}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{1/5}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{1/5}} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1/5}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{1/5}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{1/5}}, \end{aligned}$$

which goes to $+\infty$ when we send $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus $f \notin \text{BPV}([-1,1])$.

Problem 3

On one hand,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_a^b f(x)^n dx \right)^{1/n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b-a)^{1/n} M = M.$$

Now we get the other bound. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose the maximum of f is achieved at x_0 . WLOG we may assume $x_0 \neq b$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $M - \varepsilon \leq f(x) \leq M$ for all x with $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \delta$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b f(x)^n dx \right)^{1/n} &\geq \left(\int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} f(x)^n dx \right)^{1/n} \geq \left(\int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} (M - \varepsilon)^n dx \right)^{1/n} \\ &= \delta^{1/n} (M - \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_a^b f(x)^n dx \right)^{1/n} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta^{1/n} (M - \varepsilon) = M - \varepsilon.$$

But $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary so the liminf is $\geq M$.

Problem 4

We can directly apply the divergence theorem. We have

$$\text{div } F(x, y, z) = y^2 - z + z + x^2 + 0 = x^2 + y^2.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \, dS &= \int_{(x,y) \in B(0,2)} \int_{z=x^2+y^2}^4 x^2 + y^2 \, dz \, d(x,y) \\ &= \int_{(x,y) \in B(0,2)} (4 - x^2 - y^2)(x^2 + y^2) \, d(x,y) = 2\pi \int_0^2 (4 - r^2)r^2 \cdot r \, dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^2 4r^3 - r^4 \, dr = 2\pi \left(16 - \frac{32}{5} \right) = \frac{96\pi}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5

Part a

We can assume that $a, b > 0$ because if $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ then this is stupid.

It suffices to find the minimum and maximum of $xv - uy$ and square them to find the maximum of $(xv - uy)^2$. Let $f(x, y, u, v) = xv - uy$, $g(x, y, u, v) = x^2 + y^2 - a^2$, and $h(x, y, u, v) = u^2 + v^2 - b^2$. The admissible set $E = \{g = 0\} \cap \{h = 0\}$ is closed and bounded, hence it is compact, so f attains a maximum and a minimum over E . Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla g(x, y, u, v) &= \begin{bmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \nabla h(x, y, u, v) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2u \\ 2v \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let an extremum be (x, y, u, v) . To apply Lagrange multipliers we must show that $\{\nabla g(x, y, u, v), \nabla h(x, y, u, v)\}$ is linearly independent. Indeed, since $x^2 + y^2 = a^2 > 0$, one of x, y must be non-zero, and similarly one of u, v must be non-zero, which is enough.

Thus Lagrange multipliers applies: There exist $\lambda/2, \mu/2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla f = (\lambda/2)\nabla g + (\mu/2)\nabla h$ at the extremum (the factor of 2 is for convenience). That is,

$$\begin{cases} v = \lambda x \\ -u = \lambda y \\ -y = \mu u \\ x = \mu v \\ x^2 + y^2 = a^2 \\ u^2 + v^2 = b^2 \end{cases}.$$

Plug in the first and second equations into the sixth equation. This gives

$$\lambda^2 y^2 + \lambda^2 x^2 = b^2.$$

Since $x^2 + y^2 = a^2$, this tells us $a^2 \lambda^2 = b^2$. Hence $\lambda = \pm \frac{a}{b}$. (Similarly we find that $\mu = \pm \frac{b}{a}$ but we don't need it.) Now,

$$f(x, y, u, v) = xv - uy = x(\lambda x) - (-\lambda y)y = \lambda(x^2 + y^2) = \lambda a^2 = \pm ab.$$

From this, we can say that the only possible values for the extrema are ab and $-ab$. It's simple to see that these can be obtained (take $x \pm a, y = 0, u = 0, v = b$). So these ab and $-ab$ are the max and min respectively. We conclude that the maximum of $(xv - uy)^2$ must be $a^2 b^2$.

Part b

lol i dont actually know why lagrange multipliers works