

Homework is due by **11pm of Oct 8**. Send by email to both “regev” (under the cs.nyu.edu domain) and “des480” (under the nyu.edu domain) with subject line “CSCI-GA 3210 Homework 4” and name the attachment “YOUR NAME HERE HW4.tex/pdf”. There is no need to print it. Start early!

1. (3 points) (*Rabin’s permutation*) Assume  $p, q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Does Rabin’s function remain one way when its domain is restricted to  $\mathbb{QR}_N^*$  (and so becomes a one way *permutation*)?
2. (PRGs).<sup>1</sup> A (deterministic) function  $G : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  is called a *pseudorandom generator* (PRG) with output length  $\ell(n) > n$  if
  1.  $G$  can be computed by a PPT algorithm,
  2.  $\forall n, x \in \{0, 1\}^n, |G(x)| = \ell(n)$ , and
  3.  $\{G(U_n)\}$  is computationally indistinguishable from  $U_{\ell(n)}$ , the uniform distribution on  $\ell(n)$  bits.

Prove or disprove (giving the simplest counterexample you can find) the following statements. In constructing a counterexample, you may assume the existence of another OWF / PRG.

- (a) (4 points) Let  $G$  be a PRG with output length  $\ell(n) > n$ . The function  $G'(s) = G(s) \oplus (s|0^{\ell(|s|)-|s|})$  is a PRG, where  $|$  denotes concatenation. I need a hint! (ID 99102)
  - (b) (4 points) For a PRG  $f$ , define  $g(x) = f(x)|f(\bar{x})$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is the bit-wise negation of  $x$ . Then  $g$  is a PRG.
  - (c) (5 points) A PRG  $G$  with output length  $\ell(n) = 2n$  is itself a one-way function. I need a hint! (ID 15489)
  - (d) (4 points) (extra credit) A PRG  $G$  with output length  $\ell(n) = n + 1$  is itself a one-way function. I need a hint for 1 points! (ID 19634)
3. (a) (2 points) (*Computing square roots efficiently modulo prime*) Let  $p > 2$  be a prime. Assume we are given a quadratic residue  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and we wish to compute its (two) square roots. Show that when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , this can be done efficiently by computing  $\pm x^{(p+1)/4}$ , a formula due to Lagrange. (The case  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  is a bit more difficult; the case of a general prime can also be done efficiently but is more involved; feel free to look it up and summarize it here!)
  - (b) (2 points) (*LSB is not hard*.<sup>1</sup>) Show how given a prime  $p > 2$ , a generator  $g$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , and  $g^x \bmod p$  for an unknown  $x \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}$ , we can efficiently decide if  $x$  is odd. (This shows that “least significant bit” [ $x$  is odd] is *not* a hard-core predicate for the modular exponentiation function  $f_{p,g}(x) = g^x \bmod p$ .)
  - (c) (2 points) Here is a sketch of an attempt to efficiently compute discrete logs (a problem believed to be hard). Complete the missing details and identify the bug.

We are given  $y = g^x \bmod p$  for an unknown  $x \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}$ . Write  $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log p \rceil} 2^j b_j$  in its binary expansion. Efficiently find  $b_0$  as above. Let  $y_1 = y/g^{b_0}$  and notice that it is a quadratic residue. Compute the square root of  $y_1$ , and continue recursively to recover all the bits of  $x$ .
4. (2 points) ♣ (*Using hard core predicates to construct PRGs*) We say that an efficiently computable function  $h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is *hard-core* for a function  $f$  if for all non-uniform PPT algorithms  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [\mathcal{A}(f(x)) = h(x)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \text{negl}(n).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>A question from Peikert’s class

Assume we're able to show that a certain  $h$  is hard-core for a one-way *permutation*  $f$ . Suggest a way to construct a PRG from  $f$  and  $h$ , and try to think what the analysis would entail. (We'll do the analysis in class and in the next homework.)