

Homework is due by **11pm of Sep 30**. Send by email to both “regev” (under the cs.nyu.edu domain) and “des480” (under the nyu.edu domain) with subject line “CSCI-GA 3210 Homework 3” and name the attachment “YOUR NAME HERE HW3.tex/pdf”. There is no need to print it. Start early!

1. ¹ By definition, a one-way function is a deterministic function. But we can also consider a “randomized one-way function,” namely, a randomized function $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ satisfying

1. Easy to evaluate: there exists a PPT algorithm F such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, the distribution of $F(x)$ is identical to that of $f(x)$;
2. Hard to invert: for any PPT I ,

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n, y \leftarrow f(x)} [I(y) \in f^{-1}(y)] = \text{negl}(n),$$

where $f^{-1}(y)$ denoted the set of all x that f maps to y with positive probability.

- (a) (1 point) Show that the existence of a one-way function implies the existence of a randomized one-way function.
 - (b) (5 points) Show that the existence of a randomized one-way function implies the existence of a one-way function. Hint: recall that a randomized algorithm can be thought of as a *deterministic* algorithm getting as input a pair (x, r) , where x is the actual input, and r is a uniformly random bit string.
2. ² A *collection of one-way functions* is a family $\mathcal{F} = \{f_s : D_s \rightarrow R_s\}_{s \in S}$ satisfying:
1. Easy to sample function: there exists a PPT algorithm Gen that outputs some $s \in S$ (according to some distribution);
 2. Easy to sample from domain: there exists a PPT algorithm D such that $D(s)$ outputs some $x \in D_s$ (according to some distribution);
 3. Easy to evaluate: there exists a PPT algorithm F such that for all $s \in S, x \in D_s$ we have $F(s, x) = f_s(x)$;
 4. Hard to invert: for any PPT I ,

$$\Pr_{s \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n), x \leftarrow D(s)} [I(s, f_s(x)) \in f_s^{-1}(f_s(x))] = \text{negl}(n).$$

(The only significant change here compared to the definition of OWF is the introduction of s .) In this question we prove that there exists a collection $\{f_s\}$ of one-way functions if and only if there exists a one-way function f .

- (a) (2 points) Prove the “if” part.
- (b) (3 points) Prove the “only if” part. We recommend you make the simplifying assumption that the set of keys S is $\{0, 1\}^n$ with the uniform distribution and also that the domain of all the functions in the collection is $\{0, 1\}^n$, again with the uniform distribution. So the collection of OWFs is $\{f_s : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n\}_{s \in \{0, 1\}^n}$ and we are given just one deterministic algorithm F that takes a key $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and an input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and outputs $f_s(x)$ (there is no need anymore for Gen and D). Once you are done with this, you can try to extend it to the general setting (but start your solution with the simpler case).

¹A question asked in class by Emil Goldsmith Olesen.

²A question from Peikert’s class

3. (2 points) (*Expanding a PRG.*♣) A (deterministic) function $G : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ is called a *pseudo-random generator* (PRG) with output length $\ell(n) > n$ if

1. G can be computed by a PPT algorithm,
2. $\forall n, x \in \{0, 1\}^n, |G(x)| = \ell(n)$, and
3. $\{G(U_n)\}$ is computationally indistinguishable from $U_{\ell(n)}$, the uniform distribution on $\ell(n)$ bits.

Suggest a construction that we can use to show that the existence of a PRG with output length $\ell(n) = n+1$ implies the existence of a PRG with any $\text{poly}(n)$ output length. If you feel adventurous, try to suggest a way to prove its correctness.

4. (2 points) (*Constructing a PRG.*♣) Try to suggest ways to build a PRG from a OWF. For instance, say we take a one-way function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$. Explain why $g : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ defined by $g(x) = (f(x), x)$ is not a PRG. How about $g(x) = (f(x), x_1)$, where x_1 is the first bit of x ? Explain how taking f to be a one-way *permutation* helps a bit, but still does not give us a PRG. Suggest a way one can try to fix the problem.
5. (2 points) Prove that there is no “statistical PRG”, i.e., a (deterministic) function $g : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some $\ell(n) > n$ such that $g(U_n)$ is within negligible total variation distance (also known as statistical distance) of $U_{\ell(n)}$.