Homework is due by **11pm of Oct 22**. Send by email to both "regev" (under the cs.nyu.edu domain) and "ry849" (under the nyu.edu domain) with subject line "CSCI-GA 3210 Homework 5" and name the attachment "YOUR NAME HERE HW5.tex/pdf". There is no need to print it. Start early!

- (4 points) (More indistinguishability) For a probability distribution D over Ω and positive integer m, let D<sup>m</sup> denote the product distribution over Ω<sup>m</sup>, obtained by drawing a tuple of m independent samples from D. Let X = {X<sub>n</sub>} and Y = {Y<sub>n</sub>} be ensembles of distributions that are efficiently sampleable (in PPT), and let m(n) = poly(n). Prove that if X ≈ Y, then {X<sub>n</sub><sup>m(n)</sup>} ≈ {Y<sub>n</sub><sup>m(n)</sup>}. (Where do you use that X<sub>n</sub>, Y<sub>n</sub> are efficiently sampleable?)
- 2. (5 points) (*Prediction vs distinguishing*) A function  $h : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$  is *hard-core* for a function f if for all PPT algorithms A,

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [\mathcal{A}(f(x)) = h(x)] \le \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n) \ .$$

Show that this definition is equivalent to requiring that

$$(f(U_n), h(U_n)) \stackrel{c}{\approx} (f(U_n), U_1),$$

where  $U_n$  is a uniform *n*-bit string, and  $U_1$  is a uniform bit. Simplify the right hand side when *f* is a *permutation* (i.e., a bijection). Once you're done, I recommend reading Goldreich's Section 3.3.5

- 3. (*Hard core.*)<sup>1</sup> Prove or disprove (giving the simplest counterexample you can find) the following statements. In constructing a counterexample, you may assume the existence of another OWF / PRG.
  - (a) (1 point) If an efficiently-computable function f has a hard-core predicate h, then f is one-way.
  - (b) (3 points) If an efficiently-computable injective (one-to-one) function f has a hard-core predicate h, then f is one-way.
- 4. (2 points) (Pseudorandom functions<sup>4</sup>) We would like to extend the definition of a pseudorandom generator so that its output length is exponential. Can you think of a definition that makes sense?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A question from Peikert's class