

Homework is due by **11pm of Sep 17**. Send by email to both “regev” (under the cs.nyu.edu domain) and “ry849” (under the nyu.edu domain) with subject line “CSCI-GA 3210 Homework 1” and name the attachment “YOUR NAME HERE HW1.tex/pdf”. There is no need to print it. Start early!

1. (*The group \mathbb{Z}_p^**) Let p be an odd prime. We use \mathbb{Z}_p^* to denote the multiplicative group of integers modulo p . (In mathematics the common notation is $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$.)
 - (a) (1 point) Find an efficient algorithm that given $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and an integer $b \geq 0$ computes $a^b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Can we simply compute a^b as integers and then reduce the result modulo p ? (if not, say exactly why)
 - (b) (2 points) Find an efficient algorithm to check if a given $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a quadratic residue.
 - (c) (2 points) What fraction of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_p^* are generators? How does it behave asymptotically? (You can use Wikipedia for the latter; there is no need for very precise asymptotics, just the order of magnitude)
 - (d) (2 points) Describe an efficient algorithm to check if a given $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a generator. Assume that the algorithm is also given a factorization of $p - 1$. (It is not known how to perform this task efficiently without this factorization.)
 - (e) (2 points) There is a known efficient algorithm that given a number n (in unary) outputs a uniform n -bit prime p , together with a generator g of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . How can that be in light of what we said earlier about the necessity of the factorization of $p - 1$? Explain the apparent paradox and suggest a solution.
2. (5 points) (*Shannon*) Prove that in any perfectly secret shared-key encryption scheme, $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$.
3. (*Perfect secrecy*.¹) Prove or disprove (giving the simplest counterexample you can find) the following statements about perfect secrecy for shared-key encryption. You may use any of the facts from class.
 - (a) (1 point) There is a perfectly secret encryption scheme for which the ciphertext always reveals 99% of the bits of the key k to the adversary.
 - (b) (2 points) There is an encryption scheme that is not perfectly secure, yet the adversary cannot guess the key with probability greater than $1/|\mathcal{K}|$.
 - (c) (2 points) In a perfectly secret encryption scheme, the ciphertext is uniformly random. That is, for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$, the probability $\Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{Gen}}[\text{Enc}_k(m) = \bar{c}]$ is the same for every ciphertext $\bar{c} \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - (d) (5 points) Perfect secrecy is equivalent to the following definition, which says that the adversary cannot determine which of two messages was encrypted any better than by random guessing. Formally, for any $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, and any function $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$,

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{Gen}, b \leftarrow \{0,1\}}[\mathcal{A}(\text{Enc}_k(m_b)) = b] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hint: recall Q3c from HW0

- (e) (5 points) Perfect secrecy is equivalent to the following definition, which says that the ciphertext and message are independent (as random variables). Formally, for any probability distribution \mathcal{D} over the message space \mathcal{M} and any $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{c} \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\Pr_{m \leftarrow \mathcal{D}, k \leftarrow \text{Gen}}[m = \bar{m} \wedge \text{Enc}_k(m) = \bar{c}] = \Pr_{m \leftarrow \mathcal{D}}[m = \bar{m}] \cdot \Pr_{m \leftarrow \mathcal{D}, k \leftarrow \text{Gen}}[\text{Enc}_k(m) = \bar{c}].$$

¹Based on a question from Peikert's class

4. (*Encryption schemes with a computationally bounded adversary.*)

Consider the scenario of an encryption scheme in which Alice wants to send a message to Bob in such a way that Eve, who monitors the transmission, cannot read the message.

- (a) (1 point) Explain in one sentence why Bob needs to have a secret from Eve.
- (b) (1 point) Explain in one or two sentences why Alice needs to have a secret from Eve.
- (c) (2 points) Now assume that Eve is computationally bounded (i.e., is restricted to run in polynomial time in the length of the message). Does Bob still need to have a secret from Eve? Does Alice? (your feeling for the latter is enough)

I'm done solving and want to know more! (ID 18764)

5. (2 points) (*Defining one-way functions.*♣) Next class we will define the notion of a *one-way function*. Informally, this is a function $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ that is (1) easy to compute, and (2) hard to invert.

- (a) Suggest a way (or ways) to formally define it. After thinking about this question for at least 10 minutes and before writing your solution, [click here for some food for thought](#) (ID 19166)
Next, for each of the following functions, say if you think it's one way according to your definition.
- (b) The function that given an n -bit string outputs the same string with its first half zeroed out.
- (c) The function f on domain $\{1, \dots, N\} \times \{1, \dots, N\}$ that maps a pair (x, y) to their product xy .
- (d) Choose elements a_1, \dots, a_n uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_N for $N = 2^n$ and define $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ by $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i$.
- (e) Same as previous part, except a_1, \dots, a_n are chosen uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_2^n .

♣ Questions marked with a club are more open-ended and meant to encourage you to think in preparation for next class. You are not expected to answer correctly. Instead, you are expected to spend time thinking about it.