

- Stronger KKL theorem:** Prove the following strengthening of the KKL theorem. There exists a $c > 0$ such that if $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ is a balanced function with $\text{Inf}_i(f) \leq \delta$ for all i , then $\mathbb{I}(f) \geq c \log(1/\delta)$.
- Talagrand's lemma:** Let $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow [-1,1]$ and assume $p = \mathbb{E}[|f|] \ll 1$. Show that $W_1(f) = \sum_{|S|=1} \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq O(p^2 \log(1/p))$.
- Generalized Chernoff bound:** Let $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a multilinear polynomial over the reals of degree at most d , and assume that $\mathbb{E}[p(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2] = 1$ where the x_i are chosen independently from $\{-1,1\}$ (equivalently, this says that the sum of squares of p 's coefficients is 1). Then for any large enough t ,

$$\Pr[|p(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \geq t] \leq \exp(-\Omega(t^{2/d})),$$

where the x_i are chosen as before. The case $d = 1$ is a version of the Chernoff bound. Hint: use Markov's inequality and a corollary of the hypercontractive inequality that we saw in class.

4. **Logarithmic Sobolev inequality:**

- (a) Using the hypercontractive inequality, show that for any $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|T_{\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}} f\|_2^2 \leq \|f\|_{2-2\varepsilon}^2.$$

- (b) Notice that we have equality at $\varepsilon = 0$ and use this to deduce

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \|T_{\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}} f\|_2^2 \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \leq \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \|f\|_{2-2\varepsilon}^2 \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

- (c) Show that the left hand side is $-2\mathbb{I}(f)$.
 (d) Show that the right hand side is $-\text{Ent}[f^2]$ where $\text{Ent}[g]$ is defined for non-negative g as $\mathbb{E}[g \ln g] - \mathbb{E}[g] \ln \mathbb{E}[g]$ (with $0 \ln 0$ defined as 0). No need to be 100% rigorous.

This establishes the *logarithmic Sobolev inequality*, saying that for any $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{Ent}[f^2] \leq 2\mathbb{I}(f).$$

- (e) Show that if $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ has $p = \Pr[f = -1] \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$\mathbb{I}(f) \geq 2p \ln(1/p).$$

For small value of p , this significantly improves the Poincaré inequality $\mathbb{I}(f) \geq 4p(1-p)$ from Homework 1.

- Talagrand's open question (\$1000):** Fix some $0 < \rho < 1$. Let $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow [0,1]$ and let $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$. Note that $\mathbb{E}[T_\rho f] = \mu$ as well. Clearly, Markov's inequality implies that $\Pr[(T_\rho f)(x) \geq t\mu] \leq \frac{1}{t}$. Can you improve this upper bound to $o(\frac{1}{t})$? perhaps $O(1/(t\sqrt{\log t}))$? Intuitively, since T_ρ smoothes f , one would expect the peaks to shrink. See [1] for some recent progress on the Gaussian analogue.

References

- [1] K. Ball, F. Barthe, W. Bednorz, K. Oleszkiewicz, and P. Wolff. L_1 -smoothing for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. *Mathematika*, 2012.