

Honors Numerical Analysis

Lecture 8

Numerical Linear Algebra

In infinite precision - exact arithmetic, solving linear systems ~~is~~ comes down to a question of whether or not the system is consistent (or not).

On the computer, however, the situation is different due to round-off error and finite precision calculations.

First, a few comments:

Block matrix: $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & & \end{pmatrix}$

is notation where each A_{ij} is a matrix.

$$A = (\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n)$$

\uparrow
vector.

In Julia, \vec{a}_j can be accessed by $A[:, j]$.

A sub-matrix can be extracted as $A[i_1:i_2, j_1:j_2]$.

Using Linear Algebra

$a = rand(5, 4)$

$\text{opnorm}(a, p)$

(p norm)

also $p=\text{Inf}$ is option

Note: at the julia> prompt,
type "?" for help,
search functions

Gaussian Elimination

We all know how Gaussian elimination works -

Recall : Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$

$\vec{Ax} = \vec{b}$ can be solved by eliminating a_{21} :

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & b_2 \end{array} \right) \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}a_{21}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right)$$

This implies $x_2 = \frac{b_2 - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}}}{a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}}}$ and then
 $x_1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}} (b_1 - a_{12}x_2)$

This is back-substitution.

But - the process can easily fail : Ex: $a_{11}=0$ or

$$a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} = 0$$

A successful algorithm would need to involve pivoting rows.

(will return to this later.)

How expensive is G.E.?

Count flops: "floating point operations" - a single +, -, ×, ÷

To put A in echelon form : $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & \tilde{a}_{22} & \tilde{a}_{23} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$

Consider the algorithm :

Loop over columns $j=1, \dots, n-1$

Loop over rows $i=j+1, \dots, n$

① Compute a_{ij}/a_{jj} (1 flop)

② Compute row $i - \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}}$ row j ($2(n-j)$ flops)

③ Compute $b_i - \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}} b_j$ (2 flops)

The total is obtained by summing:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=j+1}^n \left(2(n-j) + 3\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)(2(n-j) + 3) \approx \Theta(n^3) \text{ flop} \quad (\text{work out details at home.})$$

(see Driscoll & Braun for asymptotic notation,
flop counting.)

(3)

Another way to think about Gaussian Elimination:

LU Factorization

Each row operation corresponds to multiplication by an "elementary" lower triangular matrix on the left.

Ex: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 & 0 \\ -7 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{L_1} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & -6 & -21 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{L_2} L_1 A = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 \end{pmatrix}}_U$$

so $L_2 L_1 A = U$

$$\Rightarrow A = \underbrace{L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1}}_{\text{also lower triangular}} U$$

Then to solve $\underbrace{A \vec{x} = \vec{b}}_{L U \vec{x} = \vec{b}}$

solve $L \vec{y} = \vec{b}$ FWD substitution (*)

then $U \vec{x} = \vec{y}$ BKWD substitution (**)

(*) and (**) only cost $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ flops

Pivoting

Of course G.E. or LU may fail if there is a zero or small number in a pivot position.

Solution is to interchange rows, resulting in a factorization of the form $PA = LU$
 ↑
 permutation matrix.

Example Let ϵ be a small number, e.g. $\epsilon \ll \epsilon_{\text{MACH}}$
 ↑
 machine precision

Then consider $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

Exact solution is: $x_1 = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1} \approx 1$

$$x_2 = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon+1} \approx 1$$

Without pivoting, in floating point arithmetic, G.E. gives us:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 1 & | & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & | & 2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\epsilon} & | & -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

Can be eliminated
totally by pivoting
for next time...

$$\Rightarrow x_2 = 1$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1-1}{\epsilon} = 0 \quad (\text{or maybe } \frac{\epsilon_{\text{MACH}}}{\epsilon})$$

The wrong answer!