April 14, 2020
Numerical Analysis
Last twie: minimum function approximation
Goals Find
$$p_n \in \mathbb{R}$$
 such that
 $\|f - p_n \|_{\infty} = \min_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{R} \\ q \in \mathbb{R} \\ x \in \{ab\}}} \|f(x) - q(x)\|$
Thus Let $n \ge 0$, $f(x) = x^{n+1}$, then $\|f - p_n \|_{\infty}$ is minimized
when $p_n(x) = x^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2^n} \cos((n+1) - a \cos x)$.
 $T_{n+1} = Chologishov Polynomial.$
Ex: $n = 0$. $= 7 - f(x) = x$
 $p_n(x) = x - \frac{1}{2^n} - \cos((a \cos x))$
 $= x - 1 \cdot x = 0$
Chebyshev polynomials
 $T_n(x) = x$
 $T_n(x) = x$
 $T_n(x) = 2x \cdot T_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$
 $Z - polynomial of dynam nt 1$

can prove using trig identies applied to this definition

17

Trivially, the zeros of The can be computed as:

$$(oS(mackx) = 0)$$

$$(oS(mackx) = \frac{1}{2}(2m+1)) \quad \text{for } m = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2...$$

$$a(oS x = \frac{1}{2n}(2m+1))$$

$$x = (oS(\frac{(2m+1)(\pi)}{2n}) \quad m = 0, 1_{S-n}, n-1 \quad (\text{ work report} \\ be m \ge n).$$
The nots on (-1, 1) can be ordered from (-1, 1] as:

$$x_{j} = -coS(\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n}) \quad j = 1_{J-n}, n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(n \text{ rots}).$$

$$f(n) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(1 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

$$(2 \text{ rots}) = n \quad (n \text{ rots}).$$

What is special abort
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} T_{w}(x)$$
?
It can be shown that $\frac{1}{2\pi} T_{w}(x)$?
It can be shown that $\frac{1}{2\pi} T_{w}(x)$?
Polynomial. A manic polynomial of degree a is one whole
coefficient on the xⁿ true is I.
If - pulled $\leq \frac{M_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$ If $\frac{\pi}{3} (x-x_{3}) ||_{\infty}$
 $(n+1)!$ $(x+x_{3}) ||_{\infty}$
Appoximation in the 2-norm
The 2-norm of a function, with some general continues
weight function wood, on $(a_{3}b)$ is:
If $f||_{2}^{2} = \int_{0}^{b} (f(x))^{2} w(x) dx$.
Goal: Find pulled such that $\|f - pull_{2}$ is minimized. This
is a least squares appoximation to $f - exactly analogous$ to
solving least squares problems in finite dimensions (is, linearAly).
There fore the solution is obtained lay computing the ontangonal
pojection of f onto the space P_{1} , under the inver product:
 $(f_{1}g)_{w} = inver product of f with g
 $= \int_{0}^{b} f(x) g(x) w(x) dx$.
There is no reason why the best pu for
the 2-norm error is the same pu for the
product of f is induct an invert
 $product$ is induct an invert
 $product$ is the same pu for the
product of f is induct an invert
 $product$ is the same pu for the
product of f is induct an invert
 $product$ is induct an invert
 $product$ is induct an invert
 $product$ is induct in the invert of f is induct an invert
 $product$ is induct in the invert of f is induct an invert
 $product$ is induct in the invert of f is induct in the invert
 $f(f_{1}g)_{w} = f(f(x) - f(x) - f($$

)

3

$$\frac{||\mathbf{r}||}{||\mathbf{r}||_{2}} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} +$$

What would the best approximation in 11-1100 be?

Compting the best 2-norm approximation
Recall: The least synarcs solution to
$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$
 is obtained
by solving $A\vec{x} = QQ^T\vec{b}$
projection of \vec{b} onto $colA$, Q is obtained
by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to the
columns of A .

4

We can do the same thing for polynomial least squares:
() Trivially, Pn is a vector space.
(2) We can define an inner product on Pn
by:
$$(f_{j}g) = \int_{0}^{b} f(x) g(x) w(x) dx$$
.
Two functions are orthogonal if $(f_{j}g) = 0$.
Let $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, ..., p_{n}$ be a basis for Pn.
The 2-norm approximation problem takes the form:
For $q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{b} c_{j} p_{j}(x)$, $\|f-q\|_{2}^{2}$ is given by: $(set w=1)$
 $for now)$
 $A = \int_{0}^{b} (f(x))^{2} dx - 2\sum_{j=0}^{b} c_{j} \int_{0}^{b} f(x) p_{j}(x) dx + \sum_{j=0}^{b} \sum_{k=0}^{b} c_{j}c_{k} \int_{0}^{b} p_{j}(x) p_{k}(x) dx$

$$= (f,f) - 2\hat{\zeta}c_{j}(f,p_{j}) + \hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}c_{j}c_{k}(p_{j},p_{k})$$

$$= (f,f) - 2\hat{\zeta}c_{j}(f,p_{j}) + \hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}c_{k}c_{j}c_{k}(p_{j},p_{k})$$

Writing down

$$\nabla A = \vec{O}$$
, we have that:
 $\frac{\partial A}{\partial c_0} = 0$, $\frac{\partial A}{\partial c_1} = 0$, ..., $\frac{\partial A}{\partial c_n} = 0$
 $= 2 \quad \frac{\partial A}{\partial c_2} = -2 \quad (f_1 p_2) + 2 \stackrel{n}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{ke0}{\overset{ke0}{\overset{ke0}{\overset{ke0}{\overset{k}$

Therefore once this linear system has been solved, the
best 2-norm approximation to
$$f$$
 is:
 $q=copot c_1p_1+..+c_np_n$.
If the pe's were orthonormal, i.e. $(pe,p_n) = \delta_{eh}$,
then the above system is of the form:
 $I \in = RHS$.
 $So = C_g = (f,p_e)$. (if p_e 's are orthonormal).