

# Advanced Machine Learning

## Bandit Convex Optimization

MEHRYAR MOHRI

MOHRI@

COURANT INSTITUTE & GOOGLE RESEARCH

# Set-Up

- Convex set  $C$ .
- For  $t = 1$  to  $T$  do
  - predict  $\mathbf{x}_t \in C$ .
  - receive convex loss function  $f_t: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
  - incur loss  $f_t(\mathbf{x}_t)$ .
- Bandit setting: only loss revealed, no gradient information.
- Regret of algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$R_T(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) - \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\mathbf{x}).$$

# Single-Point Gradient Estimate

(Flaxman et al., 2005)

## ■ Definitions:

- $\mathbb{B} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1\}$ .
- $\mathbb{S} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1\}$ .
- $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \underset{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{B}}{\text{E}} [f(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{v})]$ : smoothed version of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ .

## ■ Lemma: fix $\delta > 0$ . Then, the following equality holds:

$$\underset{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{S}}{\text{E}} [f(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}] = \frac{\delta}{N} \nabla \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}).$$

# Proof

■ By Stokes' theorem,

$$\nabla \int_{\delta\mathbb{B}} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \int_{\delta\mathbb{S}} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}) \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|} d\mathbf{u}.$$

■ Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) &= \nabla \left[ \frac{\int_{\delta\mathbb{B}} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}}{\text{vol}_N(\delta\mathbb{B})} \right] = \frac{\int_{\delta\mathbb{S}} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}}{\text{vol}_N(\delta\mathbb{B})} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\delta\mathbb{S}} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}}{\text{vol}_{N-1}(\delta\mathbb{S})} \frac{\text{vol}_{N-1}(\delta\mathbb{S})}{\text{vol}_N(\delta\mathbb{B})} \\ &= \underset{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{S}}{\text{E}} [f(\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}] \frac{N}{\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

# Algorithm

(Flaxman et al., 2005)

- Assume that  $C$  centered in the origin and let  $C_\delta = \frac{1}{1-\delta}C$ .

FKM( $T$ )

```
1    $\mathbf{y}_1 \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$ 
2   for  $t \leftarrow 1$  to  $T$  do
3        $\mathbf{u}_t \leftarrow \text{SAMPLE}(\mathbb{S})$ 
4        $\mathbf{x}_t \leftarrow \mathbf{y}_t + \delta \mathbf{u}_t$ 
5       LOSS  $\leftarrow \text{RECEIVE}(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t))$ 
6        $\mathbf{g}_t \leftarrow \frac{N}{\delta} f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \mathbf{u}_t$ 
7        $\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \leftarrow \Pi_{C_\delta}(\mathbf{y}_t - \eta \mathbf{g}_t)$ 
```

# Analysis

## ■ Assumptions:

- $\text{diam}(C) \leq D$ .
- $f_t$  bounded by  $M$  and  $G$ -Lipschitz.

## ■ Theorem: the regret of the FKM algorithm is bounded by

$$\frac{D^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta M^2 N^2 T}{2\delta^2} + \delta(D+2)GT.$$

- choosing  $\eta = \frac{\delta D}{MN\sqrt{T}}$  and  $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{DMN}{(D+2)G}} \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}$  yields the upper bound

$$2\sqrt{D(D+2)GMN} T^{\frac{3}{4}} = O(\sqrt{N}T^{\frac{3}{4}}).$$

# Proof

- Let  $x_\delta^*$  be the projection of  $x^*$  on  $C_\delta$ , then  $\|x^* - x_\delta^*\| \leq \delta D$ .  
Thus, since  $f_t$ s are  $G$ -Lipschitz,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbb{E}[f_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] - f_t(\mathbf{x}^*)) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbb{E}[f_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] + \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*) + \hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*) - f_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*) + f_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*) - f_t(\mathbf{x}^*)) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*)) + 2\delta GT + \delta DGT \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*)) + \delta(D+2)GT. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof

■ **Lemma:** fix a sequence of convex and differentiable functions  $u_1, \dots, u_T: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{z}_0, \dots, \mathbf{z}_T \in C$  be defined by  $\mathbf{z}_0 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{z}_{t+1} = \Pi_C(\mathbf{z}_t - \eta \mathbf{g}_t)$ , where  $\mathbf{g}_t$ s are random variables such that

- $E[\mathbf{g}_t | \mathbf{z}_t] = \nabla u_t(\mathbf{z}_t)$  and  $\|\mathbf{g}_t\| \leq G$ ; then,

$$E \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T u_t(\mathbf{z}_t) \right] - \min_{\mathbf{z} \in C} \sum_{t=1}^T u_t(\mathbf{z}) \leq E[R_T(\text{PSGD}, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_T)].$$

■ **Proof:** define  $h_t$  by  $h_t(\mathbf{z}) = u_t(\mathbf{z}) + [\mathbf{g}_t - \nabla u_t(\mathbf{z}_t)] \cdot \mathbf{z}$ . Then,  $\nabla h_t(\mathbf{z}_t) = \mathbf{g}_t$ ,  $E[h_t(\mathbf{z}_t)] = E[u_t(\mathbf{z}_t)]$  since  $E[\mathbf{g}_t | \mathbf{z}_t] = \nabla u_t(\mathbf{z}_t)$  and for any fixed  $\mathbf{z}$ ,  $E[h_t(\mathbf{z})] = E[u_t(\mathbf{z})]$ . Thus, running deterministic PSGD on  $h_t$ s is equivalent to expected PSGD on the fixed functions  $u_t$ s.

# Proof

■ Regret bound for online projected gradient descent:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \hat{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*)) \\ & \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{g}_t \cdot (\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*)] \\ & = \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 + \eta^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_t - \eta\mathbf{g}_t - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 + \eta^2 M^2 \frac{N^2}{\delta^2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 \right] \quad (\text{prop. of proj.}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 + \eta^2 M^2 \frac{N^2}{\delta^2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{T+1} - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[ \|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_\delta^*\|^2 + \eta^2 M^2 \frac{N^2}{\delta^2} T \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[ D^2 + \eta^2 M^2 \frac{N^2}{\delta^2} T \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# References

- J. Abernethy, E. Hazan, and A. Rakhlin. Competing in the dark: An efficient algorithm for bandit linear optimization. In COLT, pp. 263–274, 2008.
- Agarwal, A., O. Dekel, and L. Xiao. Optimal algorithms for online convex optimization with multi-point bandit feedback. In COLT, pp. 28–40, 2010.
- Bubeck, S. and R. Eldan. Multi-scale exploration of convex functions and bandit convex optimization. CoRR abs/1507.06580, 2015.
- V. Dani, T. Hayes, and S. Kakade. The price of bandit information for online optimization. In NIPS, 2008.
- Ofer Dekel, Ronen Eldan, Tomer Koren. Bandit Smooth Convex Optimization: Improving the Bias-Variance Tradeoff. NIPS 2015: 2926-2934.

# References

- A. Flaxman, A. T. Kalai, and H. B. McMahan. Online convex optimization in the bandit setting: Gradient descent without a gradient. In SODA, pp. 385–394, 2005.
- Hazan, E. and K. Y. Levy (2014). Bandit convex optimization: Towards tight bounds. In NIPS, pp. 784–792.
- Saha, A. and A. Tewari (2011). Improved regret guarantees for online smooth convex optimization with bandit feedback. In AISTATS, pp. 636–642.
- Martin Zinkevich. Online convex programming and generalized infinitesimal gradient ascent. In ICML, pages 928–936, 2009.