

Kolmogorov's Diameter, Hilbert's Rational Designs and Curvature

Misha Gromov

November 11, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Kolmogorov's $D = D(m, N, p)$, Hilbert's Theorem and Spherical Designs	6
3	Equivariant Immersions $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow S^{2N-1}$	8
3.1	Veronese Maps.	9
4	References	11

1 Introduction

Immersions are C^1 -maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between smooth manifolds, such that their differentials $df : T(X) \rightarrow T(Y)$ nowhere vanish,¹

$$df(\tau) = 0 \implies \tau = 0, \tau \in T(X).$$

The (maximal normal bundle) **curvature** of an immersed X in a Riemannian Y ,

$$f : X \hookrightarrow Y,$$

is *the supremum of the Y -curvatures* of geodesics $\gamma \subset X$, for the induced Riemannian metric in X ,

$$\text{curv}^\perp(X) = \text{curv}^\perp(f(X)) = \text{curv}_f^\perp(X) = \text{curv}^\perp(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) = \text{curv}^\perp(X \hookrightarrow Y),$$

Minimal Curvature Problem. What is the infimum of curvatures of immersions $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$,

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(X, Y) = \text{inf.curv}^\perp(X \hookrightarrow Y)?$$

Remark: *min or inf?* Probbaly, the infimum $\text{inf}_f \text{curv}_f^\perp(X, Y)$ is rarely achieved, where the (only) established examples of immersions of closed manifolds with minimal possible curvatures are listed below.

¹Immersion's are locally one-to-one but globally they may have self intersections. Immersion's without self intersections are called *embeddings*, where, if X is non-compact, one may require the induced topology in X to be equal the original one.

- Spheres in the unit balls with curvatures $curv^\perp = 1$.

$$S^m \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \quad N \geq m.$$

- Tori with curvatures $\sqrt{3m/(m+2)}$,

$$\mathbb{T}^m \subset B^N(1) \quad N > m^4.$$

(See 2.1.B, Remark(a) in 5.3. and [Pet2023].)²

- Veronese surfaces in the unit spheres with curvatures $1/\sqrt{3}$,

$$\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset S^n(1) \quad n \geq 4.$$

(See 5.2(b).)

- Product of Spheres in $S^{m_1+m_2+1}$ with curvatures 1.

$$S^{m_1} \times S^{m_2} \subset S^{m_1+m_2+1}(1).$$

(See below, section 1.1 and [Ge2021].)

Product Example. If X is a product of spheres,

$$X = \prod_{i=1}^l S^{m_i},$$

and Y is the unit ball $B^N(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ then (apart from the trivial case of $l = 1$) we know the exact value of $inf.curv^\perp(\prod_{i=1}^l S^{m_i}, B^N(1))$ **only** where all $m_i = 1$, i.e. for the torus \mathbb{T}^l , and where N is large:

$$[\sqrt{3}]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad inf.curv^\perp(\mathbb{T}^l, B^N(1)) = \sqrt{3 \frac{l}{l+2}}, \quad N \gg l^2.$$

(See sections 3, 5 and [Pet2023].)

But if all $m_i = 2$, for instance, i.e. $X = (S^2)^l$ we **neither can show** that

$$inf.curv^\perp((S^2)^l, B^{2l+1}) \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } l \rightarrow \infty$$

nor that

$$\frac{inf.curv^\perp((S^2)^l, B^{10l})}{\sqrt{l}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Clifford Embeddings. The product X of spheres $S^{m_i}(r_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, for $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i^2 = 1$ naturally isometrically imbeds to the boundary of the unit N -ball for $N = k + \sum_i m_i$:

$$Cl : X = S^{m_1}(r_1) \times \dots \times S^{m_l}(r_l) \rightarrow S^{N-1}(1) \subset B^N(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_l+1}$$

where, clearly,

$$curv^\perp(X \stackrel{Cl}{\subset} B^N) = \max_i 1/r_i.$$

²We shall show in section 2.1.D that $inf.curv(\mathbb{T}^m \subset B^N(1)) \leq \sqrt{3m/(m+2)}$ for $n \geq 8m^2+8$ but, at the present moment, the existence of immersions with curvatures $\sqrt{3m/(m+2)}$ is proven only for much larger n .

This, for $r_1 = r_2 = \dots = r_l$, delivers a codimension l -embedding with curvature \sqrt{l} . Thus,

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp \left(\bigtimes_{i=1}^l S^{m_i}, B^N(1) \right) \leq \sqrt{l}, \quad N = l + \sum_i m_i.$$

If $l = 1$, then this is optimal. In fact, it is obvious that

$$\text{curv} \left(X \hookrightarrow B^m(1) \times \mathbb{R}^N \right) \geq 1, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

for all smoothly immersed closed m -manifolds X in the "unit band" $B^m(1) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

But, for instance, the *equality*

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^m \hookrightarrow B^{2m}) = \sqrt{m}$$

is **problematic for all $m \geq 2$** .

Round m -Tori in the Unit $(m + 1)$ -Balls.

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^2 \hookrightarrow B^3) \leq 3 :$$

the boundary of the $\frac{1}{3}$ -neighbourhood of the circle of radius $\frac{2}{3}$ in the space has $\text{curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3) = 3$.

Similarly (see section 4.1)

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^3 \hookrightarrow B^4) \leq 2\sqrt{2} + 1 < 4$$

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^7 = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^1 \hookrightarrow B^8) \leq 8 + 2\sqrt{2} + 1 < 12$$

.....

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^m, B^{m+1}) < m^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad m = 2^k - 1.$$

Veronese embeddings³ of the real projective spaces satisfy (see 5.1),

$$\text{curv} \left(\mathbb{R}P^m \hookrightarrow B^{\frac{m(m+3)}{2}} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{m+1}}, \quad \text{e.g.}$$

$$\text{curv} \left(\mathbb{R}P^2 \hookrightarrow B^5 \right) = 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} < 1.155.$$

Conjecture.

$$\text{min.cirv}(X^m, B^N) < \sqrt{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \implies X =_{\text{diff eo}} S^m.$$

(maximal) **curvature** of an immersion between Riemannian manifolds,

$$(X, g) \hookrightarrow (Y, h)$$

is the supremum of h -curvatures in Y , of g -geodesics $\gamma \subset X$,

$$\text{curv}(f) = \text{curv}^X(f) = \text{curv}_Y^X(f) = \text{curv}_h^g(f) = \sup_{\gamma \subset X} \text{curv}_h(f(\gamma)).$$

³These are flashes from a superior world.

If $g = f^*(h)$ is the induced Riemannian metric in X , this is our curvature of X in Y ,

$$\text{curv}_h^g(f) = \text{curv}^\perp(X \xrightarrow{f} Y).$$

(This $\text{curv}^\perp(X)$ unlike $\text{curv}(f)$ is defined for immersions of smooth manifolds with no metrics on them.)

Normal Immersions, where $\text{curv}_F^\perp(\mathbf{X}) = \text{curv}^\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{f})$. Call an immersion between Riemannian manifolds $f : X(g) \hookrightarrow Y(h)$ *normal* if for all normal vectors to X in Y ,

$$\nu \in T_x^\perp(X) = T_f(x)(Y) \ominus df(T_x(X))$$

the second quadratic form II_ν of the immersed $X \xrightarrow{f}$ is *simultaneously diagonalizable* with the quadratic forms $g(x)$ and $f^*(h)$ on the tangent space $T_x(X)$. For instance, isometric immersions are normal.

Clearly, $\text{curv}_f^\perp(X) = \text{curv}^X(f)$ for *isometric* immersions f

Curvature in Spheres. If an immersion $X \rightarrow S^{N-1}(1)$ is normal then so is the corresponding immersion to $\mathbb{R}^N \supset S^{N-1}(1)$, where the spherical curvature of X is related to the Euclidean one by the Pythagorean theorem:

$$(\text{curv}^\perp(X \hookrightarrow S^{N-1}(1)))^2 = (\text{curv}^\perp(X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N))^2 - 1.$$

Notice that the Clifford embeddings to the unit sphere are known to be *optimal* for $l = 2$,

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(S^{m_1} \times S^{m_2}, S^{m_1+m_2+1}(1)) = 1, \quad m_1, m_2 \geq 1,^4$$

but the corresponding Euclidean equality

$$\text{inf.curv}^\perp(S^{m_1} \times S^{m_2}, B^{m_1+m_2+2}(1)) = \sqrt{2},$$

remains **conjectural** for all $m_1, m_2 \geq 1$, except for $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ [Pet].

Curvature in Codimension 1. This curvature of $X^m \hookrightarrow Y^{m+1}$ is the supremum of the principal curvatures of X in Y over all points $x \in X$.

Here normality means that the induced quadratic form $f^*(g)(x)$ on the tangent space $T_x(X)$ is, at all $x \in X$, diagonalizable in the same basis as the second fundamental form II of X .

Example. the immersion $S^m(r) \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ obtained by rotating $S^m(r) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ around a line in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} within distance $R > r$ from the origin is normal with curvature $\max(\frac{1}{R}, \frac{1}{R-r})$.

Remark I. If $f = 0$, and X immerses to \mathbb{R}^n , then the above delivers an immersion f_1 of X to the unit ball $B^N = B^N(1)$ with a bound on the curvature of f_1 depending on the dimensions n and N , e.g. with

$$\text{curv}^\perp(X, B^N) \leq \sqrt{\frac{3n}{n+2}} + \varepsilon \text{ for } N \geq 20n^2 \text{ and all } \varepsilon > 0$$

This and the Whitney embedding theorem implies the following.

1.1.D. If $N \geq 100m^2$, then $\text{inf.curv}^\perp(X, B^N) < \sqrt{\frac{3(2m-1)}{2m+1}}$.

⁴See [Ge2021], section 3.7.3 in [Gr2022] and section 5.5 in the present paper.

In fact,

$$[\mathbf{N} \gg] \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf \text{curv}^\perp(X, B^N) \leq \sqrt{\frac{3m}{m+2}}.$$

Petrinin Theorem. There exist m -dimensional manifolds X for $m \geq 2$, such that

$$\inf \text{curv}^\perp(X, S^N) > \sqrt{\frac{2m-1}{m+2}} \text{ for all } N,$$

i.e. all immersions from X to the unit sphere $S^N(1)$ have with curvatures

$$\text{curv}^\perp(X \hookrightarrow S^N(1)) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m-1}{m+2}} + \varepsilon \left(= \sqrt{\frac{3m}{m+2}} - 1 + \varepsilon \right).$$

for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(X, N) > 0$.

(Surfaces of genera ≥ 2 are examples for such X with $m = 2$.)

1.1.F. Codim 1 Theorem/Example. (See section 4.2) Let

$$X = S^k \times \underbrace{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}_{l-1}.$$

If $k \geq l^4$,⁵ then there exists an immersion

$$F : X \hookrightarrow B^{k+l}(1)$$

with

$$\text{curv}_F^\perp(X) \leq 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{3l-3}{l+1}} < 4.5.$$

Remark II. The proof of the remark I doesn't apply to immersions to \mathbb{R}^n without passing to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} but this is taken care of by the following (see

1.1.H. Remarks/Questions. We don't know how close this inequality to the minimal values of the curvatures of codim1 immersions of products of spheres is.

(a) For instance let P^{l-1} be an $(l-1)$ -dimensional manifold diffeomorphic to a product of spheres where some of these have dimensions ≥ 2 . Then, if $k \gg l$, there exist immersions

$$F_\varepsilon : S^k \times P^{l-1} \hookrightarrow B^{k+l}(1)$$

with

$$\text{curv}_{F_\varepsilon}^\perp(S^k \times P^{l-1}) \leq 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{3l-3}{l+1}} + \varepsilon$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

But this is *unclear* for $\varepsilon = 0$, even for the product $S^1 \times S^k$, which embeds to the ball $B^{k+2}(1)$ with curvature 3 for all k and where we *don't know* if there are immersions of $S^1 \times S^{k+2}$ (or other closed non-spherical manifolds of dimension $k+1$) to the unit ball $B^{k+2}(1)$ with curvatures < 3 .

⁵The hugeness of this number is the product of my perfunctory interpretation of Hilbert's argument in [H1909].

(b) It is not impossible according to what we know, that m -dimensional products of spheres of dimensions ≥ 2 admit immersions to $B^{m+1}(1)$ with curvature < 100 .

But the best we can do (see section 4.1) are immersions with curvatures $\lesssim m^{\frac{4}{3}}$.

2 Kolmogorov's $D = D(m, N, p)$, Hilbert's Theorem and Spherical Designs

K-Diameter $\sqrt[p]{D(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{p})}$. Let $\|y\|_{L_p}$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ denote the normalized norm l_p ,

$$\|y\|_{L_p} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Let $D(m, N, p)$ denotes the infimum of the numbers $D > 0$ such that \mathbb{R}^N contains an m -dimensional linear subspace X , such that

$$\|x\|_{L_p}^p \leq D \|x\|_{L_2}^p, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Observe that $D(1, N, p) = 1$, $D(m, m, p) = m^{\frac{p}{2}-1}$, that $D(m, N, p)$ is monotone increasing in m and decreasing in N and let

$$D(m, p) = D(m, \infty, p) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D(m, N, p).$$

2.1.A. Gamma Function Design Formula. If $p = 4, 6, 8, \dots$, then a simple $O(m)$ -averaging argument, shows that

$$[\Gamma/\Gamma] \quad D(m, p) = \frac{\int_{S^{m-1}} |l(s)|^p ds}{\left(\int_{S^{m-1}} |l(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \frac{m^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (p-1)}{(m+2) \cdot (m+4) \cdots (m+p-2)},$$

where $l(s)$ is a non-zero linear function on the sphere.

2.1.B. Hilbert Connection. In his proof of the Waring problem, Hilbert shows the existence of $M = \binom{m+p-1}{m-1} + 1$ rational points $s_i \in S^{m-1}$ and of positive rational weight $w_i > 0$, $\sum_1^M w_i = 1$, such that $\sum_i w_i l^d(s_i) = \int_{S^{m-1}} l^d(s) d$ for all linear functions on the sphere.

This, after partitioning each s_i into Δ atoms for Δ being the smallest common denominator \mathcal{N} of w_i , becomes what is no-a-days called *spherical design* of cardinality $N = \mathcal{N}M$ of w_i , which yields (this is nearly obvious, see **2.1.C** below) the following.

D(m, N)-Stabilization: $D(m, N, p) = D(m, \infty, p)$ for all sufficiently large $N \geq N_{Hilb}(m, p) (\leq \mathcal{N}M)$, where – to be safe let it be rough– $N_{Hilb} \leq m^{m^p}$.

Design Rationality: If $N \geq N_{Hilb}$ then the space l_p^N contains a rational linear subspace X of dimension m , such that

$$\|x\|_{L_p}^p = D(m, p) \|x\|_{L_2}^p \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

2.1.C. Spherical Designs and the Equality $D(m, N) = D(m, \infty)$

A design of even degree $p = 2, 4, \dots$ and cardinality N on the sphere S^{m-1} is a map from a set Σ of cardinality N to the sphere, written as $\sigma \mapsto s(\sigma)$, such that the linear functions $l(s)$ on the sphere $S^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} l^d(s(\sigma)) = \int_{S^{m-1}} l^d(s) ds, \quad d = 2, \dots, p,$$

where ds is the $O(m)$ invariant probability measure on the sphere.

Hence, the linear map from the space $\mathbb{R}^{m^1} (= \mathbb{R}^m)$ of linear functions on the sphere $S^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ to $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^\Sigma$ preserves both, the L_2 and the L_p -norms and, by the above $[\Gamma/\Gamma]$,

the existence a design of cardinality N implies that $D(m, N, p) = D(m, p)$.⁶

Non-rational designs, at least for $p = 4$, are known to exist for $N \ll N_{Hilb}$.

2.1.D $2m^2$ -Design Construction. If $p = 4$, and if m is a power of 2, then there exists a spherical designs of cardinality $N = 2m^2 + 4m$.⁷

This, now for all m , shows that

$$(i) \quad D(m, N, 4) = \frac{3m}{m+2} \text{ for } N \geq 8(m^2 + m).$$

$[\mathbb{R}^2 \text{ in } l_4^3]$ -Example. $D(2, N, 4) = \frac{3}{2}$ for $N \geq 3$, with four (rational) planes $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = l_4^3$, where $\|x\|_{L_4}^4 = \frac{3}{2} \|x\|_{L_2}^4$: these are the normals to the vectors $(1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, -1)$, $(1, -1, 1)$, $(1, -1, -1)$.

2.1.E. $D(m, N)$ -Inequalities. If $N \lesssim m^2$, then upper bounds on $D^4(m, N, 4)$ follow from the corresponding estimates in the randomization proofs of the Dvoretzky theorem for the l_p -spaces, where the following inequality follow from (the argument in) [PVZ2017].

$$(ii) \quad D(m, N, 4) \leq 3 + \text{const}_{(ii)} \frac{m^2}{N} \text{ for } N \geq m^2,^8$$

$$(iii) \quad D(m, N, 4) \leq \text{const}_{(iii)} \frac{m^2}{N} \text{ for } 2m \leq N \leq m^2.^9$$

2.1.F. $D(m, N)$ Concentration Property. The existence of m -subspaces $X \in l_4^N$ in [FLM1977] and [PVZ2017], such that

$$[D] \quad \|x\|_{L_4}^4 \leq D \|x\|_{L_2}^4, \quad x \in X,$$

is derived from a lower bound the measure of those m -subspaces $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, where this inequality fails for some $x \in X$.

In particular, the argument used in [FLM1977] implies that the measure μ_D of those $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with respect to the $O(N)$ -invariant probability measure in the Grassmanian $Gr_m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where $\|x\|_{L_4}^4 \geq D \|x\|_{L_2}^4$, for some $x \in X$ satisfies:

If,

$$D > \frac{3m}{m+2}$$

then

$$\mu_D \rightarrow 0, \text{ for } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

⁶See [BB2009], [LW1993] for more about it.

⁷The Kerdock code used in [K1995] yields designs for $m = 4^k$ and $N = \frac{m(m+2)}{2}$.

⁸This follows from (i) for $N \geq 8(m^2 + m)$ and, if const_1 is large, also for (some) $N \leq 8(m^2 + m)$. Besides, the inequality $D^4(m, m^2, 4) \leq \text{const}$ follows from (the proof of) example 3.1 in [FLM1977].

⁹Since $D(m, N, 4) \leq D(m, m, 4) = m$ for all m and N , the significance of this inequality for $N \sim m$ depends on the value of const_2 .

3 Equivariant Immersions $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow S^{2N-1}$

3.A. Curvatures of the Clifford Tori. Let

$$\mathbb{T}^N \subset S^{2N-1} \subset B^{2N}(1) \subset (B^2(1))^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$

be the Clifford torus and observe that the second quadratic form of this torus in the ambient Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \supset S^{2N-1} \supset \mathbb{T}^N$, regarded as a quadratic form with values in the normal bundle, is

$$\mathbb{II} = \sqrt{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i dt_i^2,$$

where t_i are the cyclic coordinates on the torus and $\{\nu_i \in T^\perp(\mathbb{T}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N})\}$ is the corresponding orthonormal frame of *normal* vectors to \mathbb{T}^N .

This, in terms of the orthonormal *tangent* frame $\{e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \in T(\mathbb{T}^N)\}$, means that

$$\mathbb{II}: e_i \otimes e_i \mapsto \sqrt{N} \nu_i \text{ and } \mathbb{II}: e_i \otimes e_j \mapsto 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Thus, the curvature of \mathbb{T}^N in B^N along a unit tangent vector $\bar{x} \in T(\mathbb{T}^N)$,

$$\bar{x} = \sum_i x_i e_i, \text{ where } \sum_i x_i^2 = 1,$$

is

$$\text{curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^N, \bar{x}) = \|\mathbb{II}(\bar{x} \otimes \bar{x})\| = \|\mathbb{II}(\sum_i x_i e_i \otimes \sum_i x_i e_i)\| =$$

$$\|\mathbb{II}(\sum_{i,j} x_i x_j (e_i \otimes e_j))\| = \sqrt{N} \|\sum_i x_i^2 \nu_i\| = \sqrt{N} \sqrt{\sum_i x_i^4} = \sqrt{N} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_i x_i^4}}{\|\bar{x}\|^2} =$$

where $\|\bar{x}\|^2 = \|\bar{x}\|_{l_2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2$.

Hence,

$$(\star) \quad \text{curv}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^N, \bar{x}) = \left(\frac{\sqrt[4]{N} \|\bar{x}\|_{l_4}}{\|\bar{x}\|_{l_2}} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\|\bar{x}\|_{L_4}}{\|\bar{x}\|_{L_2}} \right)^2,$$

where, recall, the L_p -norms refer to the finite probability spaces with N equal atoms,

$$\|\bar{x}\|_{L_p} = \frac{\|\bar{x}\|_{l_p}}{\sqrt[4]{N}}.$$

The Euclidean Small Curvature Theorem. The above (\star) implies the existence of an equivariant isometric immersion from the Euclidean m -space to the Clifford N -torus,

$$f^\circ : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N \subset S^{2N} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$

with the relative curvature $\text{curv}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{E}}(f^\circ)$ equal to $\sqrt{D(m, N)} = \sqrt{D(m, N, 4)}$.

3.1 Veronese Maps.

Besides invariant tori, there are other submanifolds in the unit sphere S^{N-1} , which have small curvatures and which are transitively acted upon by subgroups in the orthogonal group $O(N)$.

The generalized Veronese maps are a *minimal equivariant isometric* immersions of spheres to spheres, with respect to certain homomorphisms (representations) between the orthogonal groups $O(m+1) \rightarrow O(m+1)$,

$$\text{ver} = \text{ver}_s = \text{ver}_s^m : S^m(R_s) \rightarrow S^m = S^{m_s} = S^{m_s}(1),$$

where

$$m_s = (2s + m - 1) \frac{s + m - 2!}{s!(m - 1!)} < 2^{s+m} \text{ and } R_s = R_s(m) = \sqrt{\frac{s(s + m - 1)}{m}},$$

for example,

$$m_2 = \frac{m(m+3)}{2} - 1, R_2(m) = \sqrt{\frac{2(m+1)}{m}} \text{ and } R_2(1) = 2,$$

(see [DW1971]) If $s = 2$ these, called *classical Veronese maps*, are defined by taking squares of linear functions (forms) $l = l(x) = \sum_i l_i x_i$ on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} ,

$$Ver : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M_m}, M_m = \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2},$$

where this \mathbb{R}^{M_m} is represented by the space $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})$ of quadratic functions (forms) on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} ,

$$Q = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{m+1, m+1} q_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

The Veronese map, which is (obviously) equivariant for the natural action of the orthogonal group $O(n+1)$ on \mathcal{Q} , where, observe, this action fixes the line \mathcal{Q}_\circ spanned by the form $Q_\circ = \sum_i x_i^2$ as well as the complementary subspace \mathcal{Q}_\circ^\perp of the *traceless forms* Q , where the action of $O(n+1)$ is irreducible and, thus, it has a *unique, up to scaling* Euclidean/Hilbertian structure.

Then the normal projection¹⁰ defines an equivariant map to the sphere in \mathcal{Q}_\circ

$$ver : S^m \rightarrow S^{M_m-2}(r) \subset \mathcal{Q}_\circ,$$

where the radius of this sphere, a priori, depends on the normalization of the $O(m+1)$ -invariant metric in \mathcal{Q}_\circ .

Since we want the map to be isometric, we either take $r = \frac{1}{R_2(m)} = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2(m+1)}}$ and keep $S^m = S^m(1)$ or if we let $r = 1$ and $S^m = S^m(R_2(m))$ for $R_2(m) = \sqrt{\frac{2(m+1)}{m}}$.

Also observe that the Veronese maps, which are not embeddings themselves, factor via embeddings of projective spaces to spheres

$$S^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^m \subset S^{M_m-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{M_m-1} = \mathcal{Q}_\circ, M_m = \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}.$$

Curvature of Veronese. Let us show that

$$curv_{ver}^\perp(S^m(R_2(m))) \hookrightarrow S^{M_m-2}(1) = \sqrt{\frac{R_2(1)}{R_2(m)} - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}}.$$

Indeed, the Veronese map sends equatorial circles from $S^m(R_2(m))$ to planar circles of radii $R_2(m)/R_2(1)$, the curvatures of which in the ball B^{M_m-1} is $R_2(1)/R_2(m) = 2\sqrt{\frac{m}{m+1}}$ and the curvatures of these in the sphere,

$$curv^\perp(S^1 \subset S^{M_m-2}(1)) = \sqrt{curv(S^1 \subset B^{M_m-1}(1))^2 - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{4m}{m+1} - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{3m-1}{m+1}}$$

¹⁰The splitting $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_\circ \oplus \mathcal{Q}_\circ^\perp$ is necessarily normal for all $O(m+1)$ -invariant Euclidean metrics in \mathcal{Q} .

is equal to the curvature of the Veronese $S^m(R_2(m)) \hookrightarrow S^{M_m-2}(1)$ itself

$$\sqrt{R_2(1)/R_2(m)} = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{m+1}}, \text{ and the curvatures of these in the sphere,}$$

$$\text{curv}^\perp(S^1 \subset S^{M_m-2}(1)) = \sqrt{\text{curv}(S^1 \subset B^{M_m-1}(1))^2 - 1},$$

is equal to the curvature of the Veronese $S^m(R_2(m)) \hookrightarrow S^{M_m-2}(1)$ itself. QED.

It may be hard to prove (conjecture in section 1) that Veronese manifolds have the smallest possible curvatures among non-spherical m -manifold in the unit ball: *if a smooth compact m -manifold X admits a smooth immersion to the unit ball $B^N = B^N(1)$ with curvature $\text{curv}^\perp(X \hookrightarrow B^N) < \sqrt{\frac{2m}{m+1}}$, then X is diffeomorphic to S^m .*

It is more realistic to show that the Veronese have smallest curvatures among submanifolds $X \subset B^N$ invariant under subgroups in $O(N)$, which transitively act on X .

Remark. Manifolds X^m immersed to S^{m+1} with curvatures < 1 are diffeomorphic to S^m , see 5.5, but, apart from Veronese's, we **can't rule out** such X in S^N for $N \geq m+2$ ¹¹ and, even less so, non-spherical X immersible with curvatures $< \sqrt{2}$ to $B^N(1)$, even for $N = m+1$.

It seems hard to decide this way or another, but it may be realistic to try to prove *sphericity of simply connected* manifolds immersed with curvatures < 1 to $S^N(1)$ for all N .

The curvatures of Veronese maps can be also evaluated with the *Gauss formula*, (teorema egregium), which also gives the following formula for curvatures of all ver_s :

$$m = 2 \quad 1 - 2c^2 = 1/3, \quad 2c^2 = 2/3 \quad c\sqrt{1/3}$$

$$C = \sqrt{1 + 1/3} = 2/\sqrt{3}$$

From Veronese to Tori. The restriction of the map $ver_s : S^{2m-1}(R_s) \rightarrow S^{N_s}$ to the Clifford torus $\mathbb{T}^m \subset S^{2m-1}(R_s)$ obviously satisfies

$$\text{curv}_{ver_s}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^m) \leq A_{2m-1,s} + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{R_s} = \sqrt{3 - \frac{5}{2}m + \varepsilon(m,s)}$$

for

$$\varepsilon(m,s) = \frac{2}{4m^2} - \frac{4m-2}{s(s+2m-2)} + \frac{5(2m-1)}{2ms(s+2m-2)} - \frac{2m-1}{(ms(s+2m-2))^2}.$$

This, for $s \gg m^2$, makes $\varepsilon(m,s) = O\frac{1}{m^2}$

Since $N_s < 2^{s+2m}$,

starting from $N = 2^{10m^3}$

$$\text{curv}_{ver_s}^\perp(\mathbb{T}^m) < \sqrt{3 - \frac{5}{2}m}.$$

where it should be noted that

the Veronese maps restricted to the Clifford tori are \mathbb{T}^m -equivariant

and that

¹¹Hermitian Veronese maps from the complex projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^m$ to the spaces \mathcal{H}_n of Hermitian forms on \mathbb{C}^{m+1} are among the prime suspects in this regard.

this bound is *weaker than the optimal one* $\frac{\|y\|_4^2}{\|y\|^2} \geq \sqrt{3 - \frac{3}{m+2}} + \varepsilon$ from the previous section.

Remarks. (a) It is not hard to go to the (ultra)limit for $s \rightarrow \infty$ and thus obtain an

equivariant isometric immersion ver_∞ of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m to the unit sphere in the Hilbert space, such that

$$curv_{ver_\infty}^\perp(\mathbb{R}^m \hookrightarrow S^\infty) = \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)(2m+1)}{(m+1)^2}} = \sqrt{2 - \frac{5}{m+1} + \frac{2}{(m+1)^2}},$$

where equivariance is understood with respect to a certain unitary representation of the isometry group of \mathbb{R}^m .

Probably, one can show that this ver_∞ realizes the *minimum* of the curvatures among all equivariant maps $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow S^\infty$.

(b) Instead of ver_s , one could achieve (essentially) the same result with a use of compositions of the classical Veronese maps, $ver : S^{m_i} \rightarrow S^{m_{i+1}}$, $i+1 = \frac{(m_i+1)(m_i+2)}{2} - 2$,

$$S^{m_1} \hookrightarrow S^{m_2} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow S^{m_i},$$

starting with $m_1 = 2m - 1$ and going up to $i = m$. (Actually, $i \sim \log m$ will do.)

4 References

[BB2009] Eiichi Bannai, Etsuko Bannai, *A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres*. European Journal of Combinatorics Volume 30, 2009 pp 1392-1425.

[Be2022] S.M. Berge *Eigenvalues on Spherically Symmetric Manifolds*, arXiv:2203.11911.

[Bet1991] F. Bethuel, The approximation problem for Sobolev maps between two, Acta Math. 167 (1991), 153–206.

[BHJ] A generalization of Geroch’s conjecture Simon Brendle, Sven Hirsch, Florian Johnes *A generalization of Geroch’s conjecture* arXiv:2207.08617

[CDM2016] Gregory R. Chambers, Dominic Dotterer, Fedor Manin and Shmuel Weinberger, *Quantitative null-cobordism*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 31(4).

[CMS] Otis Chodosh, Christos Mantoulidis, Felix Schulze. *Generic regularity for minimizing hypersurfaces in dimensions 9 and 10* arXiv:2302.02253v2.

[DW1971] M. P. do Carmo and N. R. Wallach, *Minimal immersions of spheres into spheres*. Ann. of Math. (2)93, 43–62 (1971).

[FLM 1977] T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss, V. Milman, *The dimension of almost spherical sections*, of convex bodies, Acta Math. 139 (1977), 53–94.

[Ge 2021] J. Ge, *Gehring Link Problem, Focal Radius and Over-torical width*, arXiv:2102.05901 [math.DG]

[GE1971] M. Gromov, Y. Eliashberg, *Removal of singularities of smooth maps*, Izv. Akad. Nauk, S.S.S.R. 35, #5, pp. 600-627.

- [GE1971] M. Gromov, Y. Eliashberg, *Construction of nonsingular isoperimetric films*. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 1971, 116, 13-28.
- [Gr1986] M. Gromov, *Partial differential relations* Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [Gr1988] M. Gromov, *Dimension, non-linear spectra and width*, Lect. Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag 1317 (1988), 132-185.
- [Gr2017] M. Gromov, *Morse Spectra, Homology Measures and Parametric Packing Problems*, arXiv:1710.03616
- [Gr2018] M. Gromov, *Metric Inequalities with Scalar Curvature* Geometric and Functional Analysis volume 28, pages 645–726 (2018)
- [Gr2021] M. Gromov, *Four Lectures on Scalar Curvature*, arXiv:1908.10612.
- [Gr2022] M. Gromov, *Scalar Curvature, Injectivity Radius and Immersions with Small Second Fundamental Forms*, arXiv:2203.14013.
- [Gr2022'] M. Gromov, *Isometric Immersions with Controlled Curvatures*, arXiv:2212.06122.
- [Gr2023] M. Gromov, *Product Inequalities for \mathbb{T}^m -Stabilized Scalar Curvature*, arXiv:2306.02932.
- [Hit1974] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic Spinors*, Advances in Mathematics, 14(1), 1-55 (1974).
- [K1995] H. König, *Isometric imbeddings of Euclidean spaces into finite dimensional l_p -spaces*, Banach Center Publications (1995) Volume: 34, Issue: 1, page 79-87.
- [Ku2021] Y. Kubota, *Band width and the Rosenberg index* arXiv:2108.08506 [math.KT]
- [LB2021] C. LeBrun, *On the Scalar Curvature of 4-Manifolds* arXiv:2105.10785 [math.DG]
- [LW1993] Yu. Lyubich, L. Vaserstein, *Isometric imbeddings between classical Banach spaces, cubature formulas, and spherical designs*, Geom. Dedicata 47 (1993), 327–362.
- [PVZ 2017] G. Paouris, P. Valettas and J. Zinn, *Random version of Dvoretzky's theorem in l_p^n* , arXiv:1510.07284
- [Pet 2023] A. Petrunin *Gromov's torii are optimal* arXiv:2304.00886v1
- [Sm1993] N. Smale, *Generic regularity of homologically area minimizing hyper surfaces in eight-dimensional manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1, no. 2 (1993), 217-228.
- [Stolz(survey) 2001] S. Stolz *Manifolds of Positive Scalar Curvature* http://users.ictp.it/~pub_off/lectures/lms009/Stolz/Stolz.pdf
- [SY1979] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979). 159-183.

[SY2017] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, Positive Scalar Curvature and Minimal Hypersurface Singularities, arXiv:1704.05490.

[WXY2021] J. Wang, Z. Xie, G. Yu, *An index theoretic proof of Gromov's cube inequality on scalar curvature*, arXiv:2105.12054