

Finding Limits of Multiscale SPDEs

David Kelly

Martin Hairer

Mathematics Institute
University of Warwick
Coventry UK CV4 7AL
dtbkelly@gmail.com

November 3, 2013

Multiscale Systems, Warwick

A Classic Result

Let X_ε satisfy the SDE

$$dX_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b(X_\varepsilon/\varepsilon) dt + \sigma(X_\varepsilon/\varepsilon) dB(t)$$

with b, σ being periodic \mathcal{C}^2 functions and X_ε taking values on S^1 .

Eg. Take $\sigma = 1$, $b = -V'(\cdot)$. Then the SDE described a gradient flow over the highly oscillatory potential $V(\cdot/\varepsilon)$.

A Classic Result

Let X_ε satisfy the SDE

$$dX_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b(X_\varepsilon/\varepsilon) dt + \sigma(X_\varepsilon/\varepsilon) dB(t)$$

with b, σ being periodic C^2 functions and X_ε taking values on S^1 .

Eg. Take $\sigma = 1$, $b = -V'(\cdot)$. Then the SDE described a gradient flow over the highly oscillatory potential $V(\cdot/\varepsilon)$.

If σ is strictly positive and $\int b/\sigma^2 dx = 0$ then

$$X_\varepsilon \Rightarrow c\bar{X}$$

where \bar{X} is a BM and $c > 0$ is some constant determined by b and σ .

Homogenization of PDEs

If we denote the generator of X_ε as

$$L_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b(x/\varepsilon) \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x/\varepsilon) \partial_x^2$$

where $x \in S^1$. We can use the classic result to **homogenize PDEs**

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon & \rightarrow & & \partial_t u &= c \partial_x^2 u \\ u_\varepsilon(0) &= g & & & u(0) &= g \end{aligned}$$

since $u_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_\varepsilon(t))]$.

Homogenization of PDEs

If we denote the generator of X_ε as

$$L_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b(x/\varepsilon) \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x/\varepsilon) \partial_x^2$$

where $x \in S^1$. We can use the classic result to **homogenize PDEs**

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon & \rightarrow & & \partial_t u &= c \partial_x^2 u \\ u_\varepsilon(0) &= g & & & u(0) &= g \end{aligned}$$

since $u_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_\varepsilon(t))]$. We can also add a forcing term

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + f & \rightarrow & & \partial_t u &= c \partial_x^2 u + f \\ u_\varepsilon(0) &= g & & & u(0) &= g \end{aligned}$$

since $u_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_\varepsilon(t))] + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[f(X_\varepsilon(t-s), s)] ds$. This works provided $f = f(x, t)$ is nice enough.

Homogenization of SPDEs

We try to find a limit to

$$\begin{aligned} du_\varepsilon(t) &= L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(t)dt + Q^{1/2}dW(t) \\ u_\varepsilon(0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{dW}{dt}$ is space-time white noise and $Q^{1/2}$ is a positive, bounded linear operator with $Q^{1/2}e^{ikx} = \lambda_k e^{ikx}$ with $\lambda_k \geq 0$. Hence, we can also write

$$du_\varepsilon(t) = L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(t)dt + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k e^{ikx} dW_k(t)$$

where W_k are complex BMs with $W_k = W_{-k}^*$ and otherwise independent.

First Result

Theorem (Compact Q)

If $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$ and u satisfies

$$du(t) = c\partial_x^2 u(t)dt + Q^{1/2}dW(t),$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{H^{-s}}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $s > 3/4$.

- ▶ If we know $\lambda_k \ll k^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ then we can improve to $s > (3/4 - 3\alpha/2) \wedge 0$.

Second Result

Theorem (Bounded Q)

If $\lambda_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$ and \hat{u} satisfies

$$d\hat{u}(t) = c\partial_x^2 \hat{u}(t)dt + \sum_k (|\lambda_k|^2 + |\bar{\lambda}|^2(\|\rho\|^2 - 1))^{1/2} e^{ikx} d\hat{W}_k(t)$$

for some new sequence of BMs \hat{W}_k and ρ satisfies $L^*\rho = 0$ with $\langle \rho, 1 \rangle = 1$.

Then there exists a sequence of processes $\hat{u}_\varepsilon \stackrel{dist}{=} u_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t) - \hat{u}(t)\|_{H^{-s}}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $s > 1$.

- ▶ eg. For STWN, $d\hat{u} = c\partial_x^2 \hat{u}dt + \|\rho\|d\hat{W}$

Sketch of Proof

We use the following **interpolation** strategy

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{H^{-s}}^2 &= \sum_{|m| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \mathbb{E}|\langle u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t), e^{imx} \rangle|^2 (1 + m^2)^{-s} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|m| \geq \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \mathbb{E}|\langle u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t), e^{imx} \rangle|^2 (1 + m^2)^{-s}\end{aligned}$$

for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. For the **high modes**

$$\sum_{|m| \geq \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \mathbb{E}|\langle u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t), e^{imx} \rangle|^2 (1 + m^2)^{-s} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\beta s} (\mathbb{E}\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|u(t)\|^2) .$$

If $\mathbb{E}\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2$ doesn't blow up too much, then we need only worry about the **low modes**.

Sketch of Proof

We have a **mild solution** given by

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t S_\varepsilon(t-s) e^{ikx} dW_k(s)$$

where S_ε is the semigroup generated by L_ε .

Sketch of Proof

We have a **mild solution** given by

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t S_\varepsilon(t-s) e^{ikx} dW_k(s)$$

where S_ε is the semigroup generated by L_ε .

We take $|m| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}$ and try to approximate

$$\langle u_\varepsilon, e^{imx} \rangle = \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t \langle S_\varepsilon(t-s) e^{ikx}, e^{imx} \rangle dW_k(s)$$

This can be approximated using (a quantitative version of) our classical result

$$S_\varepsilon(t-s) e^{ikx} = e^{ikx - k^2(t-s)} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon k)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_\varepsilon(t), e^{imx} \rangle &= \lambda_m \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_m(s) + \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t \langle R_\varepsilon^k(t-s), e^{imx} \rangle dW_k \\ &= \langle u, e^{imx} \rangle + \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t \langle R_\varepsilon^k(t-s), e^{imx} \rangle dW_k(s)\end{aligned}$$

Estimates for R_ε^k get bad when $k \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$, so this only works if $\lambda_k \ll k^{-1/2}$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_\varepsilon(t), e^{imx} \rangle &= \lambda_m \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_m(s) + \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t \langle R_\varepsilon^k(t-s), e^{imx} \rangle dW_k \\ &= \langle u, e^{imx} \rangle + \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t \langle R_\varepsilon^k(t-s), e^{imx} \rangle dW_k(s)\end{aligned}$$

Estimates for R_ε^k get bad when $k \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$, so this only works if $\lambda_k \ll k^{-1/2}$.
A better approach is to use the **adjoint semigroup**

$$\langle u_\varepsilon(t), e^{imx} \rangle = \sum_k \lambda_k \int_0^t \langle e^{ikx}, S_\varepsilon^*(t-s)e^{imx} \rangle dW_k(s)$$

With the adjoint classical result

$$S_\varepsilon^*(t-s)e^{imx} = \rho(x/\varepsilon)e^{imx-m^2(t-s)} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon m)$$

We have

$$\langle u_\varepsilon(t), e^{imx} \rangle = \sum_k \lambda_k \langle e^{ikx}, \rho(x/\varepsilon) e^{imx} \rangle \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_k(s) + R$$

Since $\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$, only terms with $k = m + p/\varepsilon$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ will remain.

We have

$$\langle u_\varepsilon(t), e^{imx} \rangle = \sum_k \lambda_k \langle e^{ikx}, \rho(x/\varepsilon) e^{imx} \rangle \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_k(s) + R$$

Since $\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$, only terms with $k = m + p/\varepsilon$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ will remain. We have

$$\sum_p \lambda_{m+p/\varepsilon} \langle \rho, e^{ipx} \rangle \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_{m+p/\varepsilon}(s)$$

and isolating the first term

$$\lambda_m \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_m(s) + \sum_{p \neq 0} \lambda_{m+p/\varepsilon} \langle \rho, e^{ipx} \rangle \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_{m+p/\varepsilon}(s)$$

If $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$, this proves the result for Q compact.

If $\lambda_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, then these **extra terms no longer vanish**. We have that

$$\sum_p \lambda_{m+p/\varepsilon} \langle \rho, e^{ipx} \rangle \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} dW_{m+p/\varepsilon}(s)$$

is equal in distribution to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_p |\lambda_{m+p/\varepsilon}|^2 |\langle \rho, e^{ipx} \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} d\hat{W}_m(s) \\ & \rightarrow (|\lambda_m|^2 + |\bar{\lambda}|^2 (\|\rho\|^2 - 1))^{1/2} \int_0^t e^{-m^2(t-s)} d\hat{W}_m(s) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result for Q bounded.

Ergodic Properties

If μ_ε and μ are (respectively) the **invariant measures** of the original and limiting SPDEs then we can show that

$$\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

under the H^{-s} Wasserstein metric.

Extensions

Similar results hold for all SPDEs of the form

$$du_\varepsilon(t) = L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(t)dt + \sum_k q_k(x/\varepsilon)e^{ikx}dW_k(t)$$

for C^1 periodic functions q_k . This is the structure possessed by noise that is **cell-translation invariant**. i.e. The law of the noise is invariant if you shift it by a cell.

Similar results hold for all SPDEs of the form

$$du_\varepsilon(t) = L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(t)dt + \sum_k q_k(x/\varepsilon)e^{ikx}dW_k(t)$$

for C^1 periodic functions q_k . This is the structure possessed by noise that is **cell-translation invariant**. i.e. The law of the noise is invariant if you shift it by a cell.

Thank You!