Scientific Computing: Partial Differential Equations

Aleksandar Donev Courant Institute, NYU¹ donev@courant.nyu.edu

¹Course MATH-GA.2043 or CSCI-GA.2112, Fall 2015

Dec 3rd, 2015

- Classification of PDEs
- 2 Numerical Methods for PDEs
- 3 Boundary Value Problems
- 4 Temporal Integration

5 Conclusions

Partial Differential Equations

- **Partial differential equations** (PDEs) are differential equations that involve more then one independent variables.
- PDEs appear prominently in the modeling of physical systems, and so we will assume the independent variables are time t and spatial coordinate x in one dimension, or in higher dimensions r, so our unknown is

u(x, t) or more generally $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$

- For time-independent problems, we will focus on one-dimensional or two-dimensional problems, $u(\mathbf{r}) \equiv u(x, y)$.
- Common short-hand notation for derivatives in PDE circles:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \partial_t u = u_t$$
, and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} = \partial_{xy} u = u_{xy}$

• The **order of the PDE** is determined by the highest-order partial derivative appearing in the PDE.

First Order Linear PDEs

• The simplest first-order linear PDE is the advection equation

$$u_t = -cu_x,$$

where c is a constant **speed of propagation**.

• If the domain of x-dependence is the whole real line, one needs an initial condition at time $t_0 = 0$,

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x)$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

 The solution of the equation can be constructed analytically for any initial condition:

$$u(x,t)=u_0(x-ct),$$

which means at time t the solution is the same as at time t_0 but shifted by a distance $c(t - t_0)$.

• If c > 0 information propagates **upward**, and if c < 0 information propagates **downward**.

Advection Equation

 Now consider the case when the domain of the PDE is a finite interval, 0 ≤ x ≤ 1, with initial condition

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x)$$
 for $0 \le x \le 1$.

- If c > 0, then at a later time t the solution would shift upward and we would not know what it is for x < ct.
- To specify the problem we thus also need **boundary conditions**, if c > 0 then

$$u(0, t) = u_L(t)$$
, where $u_L(t = 0) = u_0(x = 0)$,

or if c < 0 then

$$u(1, t) = u_R(t)$$
, where $u_R(t = 0) = u_0(x = 1)$.

Second-Order PDEs

• Consider a second-order linear equation with constant coefficients:

$$au_{xx} + bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} + du_x + eu_y + fu + g = 0.$$

- Depending on the values of the coefficients, this equation is classified as:
 - $b^2 > 4ac$: hyperbolic
 - $b^2 = 4ac$: parabolic
 - $b^2 < 4ac$: elliptic
- The type of the equation makes a profound effect on how it is solved numerically.
- In real life, the coefficients depend on time or the spatial position instead of being constants, and one usually considers systems of SPDEs which may be of **mixed type**.

PDE Classification

However, based on the most prominent character in the PDE, one still uses the classification loosely:

• Hyperbolic problems are time-dependent problems where there is no steady-state and no dissipation (diffusion), such as the advection equation or the wave equation:

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}$$
.

• **Parabolic** problems are **time-dependent** problems evolving toward a steady-state because of **dissipation** (diffusion), such as the **heat** equation:

 $u_t = \mu u_{xx}$, where $\mu > 0$ is heat conductivity.

• Elliptic problems are time-independent problems that describe the steady-state reached by parabolic PDEs, such as the Laplace equation:

$$u_t = u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0.$$

Heat Equation

• In one spatial dimension,

$$u_t = \mu u_{xx}$$
, for $0 \le x \le 1$,

with initial conditions

$$u(0,x) = f(x)$$
 for $0 \le x \le 1$

• We also need one boundary condition for each of the end-points of the interval (in higher dimensions for each point along the boundary), e.g., **Dirichlet boundary conditions**

$$u(t,0) = u_L(t)$$
, and $u(t,1) = u_R(t)$

or Neumann boundary conditions

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t,0)=0, ext{ and } rac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t,1)=0.$$

• The heat equation describes, for example, the temperature along the length of a rod where the ends are being held at specified temperatures (Dirichlet) or are insulated (Neumann).

Numerical Methods for PDEs Spatio-Temporal Discretization

- The first step in solving a PDE is spatio-temporal discretization of the solution, that is, representing the infinite-dimensional object u(x, t) as a discrete collection U of values (a vector, matrix, or array) representing the solution over the spatial domain over some period of time 0 ≤ t ≤ T.
- From the discrete solution **U**, one should be able to obtain an approximation of u(x, t) at any desired point in space and time inside the proper domain, for example, using interpolation.
- As a simple example, one could represent the solution on a **discrete spatio-temporal grid**,

$$U_i^{(k)} \approx u(i\Delta x, k\Delta t)$$
, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots$

• The same concepts of and relations between **consistency**, **stability and convergence** as for ODEs apply for (linear) PDEs.

Spatial Discretization

$$u_t = f(u, u_x, u_{xx})$$

• Often, we construct the spatial discretization separately from the temporal one.

This semidiscrete method converts a PDE into system of N ODEs

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U}(t) = \mathbf{F} \left[\mathbf{U}(t), t \right],$$

where $\mathbf{U}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a discrete approximation to u(x, t).

- F [U(t), t] is a consistent discretization of f(u, u_x, u_{xx}), with error O(h²) = O(N⁻²) measured in an appropriate norm, where the grid spacing h = Δx is a measure of the granularity of the spatial discretization.
- Then time is discretized, as for ODEs, with either a fixed or a variable time step Δt .

- Depending on how space is discretized, we distinguish the following classes of methods:
 - Finite-difference methods, where the solution is represented pointwise on a discrete set of nodes, e.g., a regular grid:

 $U_i(t) \approx u(i\Delta x, t), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, N$

- Finite-element methods, where the solution is directly represented through the interpolant, that is, $\mathbf{U}(t)$ actually stores the coefficients of the interpolating function $\tilde{u}(x; t)$, or more specifically, the coefficients of a discrete set of *N* basis functions. Equations for the coefficients are obtained by integration of the PDE over the domain (weak formulation).
- Finite-volume methods, where the solution is represented by the average values over a set of cells (integral over the cell).
- If the solution is time-independent (steady-state, $u_t = 0$), then the problem simply becomes that of solving the system of N equations,

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) = 0.$$

Numerical Methods for PDEs Finite-Difference Method

• The idea behind finite-difference methods is simple: Use **finite-difference formulas** to approximate derivatives. For example, one can use the **second-order centered difference** (see Lectures 2 and 3 and Homework 1):

$$u_x(i\Delta x) pprox rac{U_{i+1}-U_{i-1}}{2\Delta x}$$

$$u_{xx}(i\Delta x) \approx \frac{U_{i+1}-2U_i+U_{i-1}}{\Delta x^2}$$

 For example, for the heat equation u_t = μu_{xx} we get the system of ODEs:

$$\partial_t U_i(t) = F_i(\mathbf{U}) = \mu \frac{U_{i+1}(t) - 2U_i(t) + U_{i-1}(t)}{\Delta x^2},$$

where at the boundary points we use the boundary conditions, for example, for Dirichlet BCs we fix

$$U_0(t) = u_L(t), \quad U_{N+1}(t) = u_R(t).$$

Poisson Equation

• Finding the steady-state or equilibrium state of a system (the same as the limit $t \to \infty$ of the heat equation) is often modeled using the Poisson equation

 $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ in a bounded **domain** $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

• To complete this equation we need **boundary conditions** but no initial conditions. A typical example is the **Dirichlet BC**

$$u(\partial \Omega) = 0,$$

where $\partial \Omega$ is the boundary of the domain Ω . This is a model **elliptic PDE**.

• To illustrate things, let us consider a one-dimensional domain, $\Omega \equiv [a, b]$, and solve the **boundary-value problem**

$$u_{xx} = 0$$
 for $a < x < b$

with the boundary condition

$$u(a) = 0$$
, and $u(b) = 1$

Boundary Value Problems

$$u_{xx} = 0$$
 for $a < x < b$

- Observe that this is just a second-order ODE, and we have one initial condition u(a) = 0.
- What we are missing however is an initial condition for $u_x(a)$.
- One approach is to use a shooting method, which makes a guess for u_x(a), then solves the ODE from x = a to x = b, and sees if we get the correct value u(b) = 1.
- Denote with $u_b(z)$ the value u(b) obtained by solving the ODE starting with initial guess $u_x(a) = z$.
- The shooting method basically requires solving the **nonlinear** equation for z

$$u_b(z)=1,$$

which is not that easy.

Finite-Difference BVP

 Instead, we can just use a finite-difference expression for the derivative to set

$$u_{xx}(i\Delta x)pprox rac{U_{i+1}-2U_i+U_{i-1}}{\Delta x^2}=0 \quad ext{for} \quad i=1,\ldots,N-1$$

which together with $U_0 = u(a) = 0$ and $U_N = u(b) = 1$ gives us a linear system for U_i with N - 1 equations and N - 1 unknowns.

- So we have converted the BVP into solving a **linear system of** equations, which we know how to solve.
- The same works for the Poisson equation in two dimensions as wells, but we need to spend more time thinking about how to discretize the Laplacian operator

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + \boldsymbol{u}_{yy}$$

on our domain of interest (finite differences for **regular grids**, finite elements for **irregular grids**).

Temporal Integrators

$$\partial_t U_i(t) = \mu \frac{U_{i+1}(t) - 2U_i(t) + U_{i-1}(t)}{\Delta x^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \mathbf{U} = \frac{\mu}{\Delta x^2} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$$

Recall that the stiffness of this system of ODEs is measured by the eigenvalues of μA/Δx².
Here A is a tri-diagonal matrix with -2 on the diagonal, and 1 on the

nere A is a tri-diagonal matrix with -2 on the diagonal, and 1 on the off-diagonal.

• In one spatial dimension, the non-zero eigenvalues are in the interval

$$\lambda_i \in [-rac{4\mu}{\Delta x^2}, -rac{\pi^2\mu}{(N\Delta x)^2}],$$

which means that the ratio of the largest to the smallest eigenvalue (in magnitude) is $r \sim N^2$.

• The system of ODEs becomes **very stiff** as the spatial discretization is refined (not good!).

Explicit Scheme

Consider using forward Euler method with a fixed time step Δt, and denote U_i^(k) ≈ U_i(kΔt):

$$U_i(t + \Delta t) = U_i^{(k+1)} = U_i^{(k)} + \frac{\mu \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left(U_{i+1}^{(k)} - 2U_i^{(k)} + U_{i-1}^{(k)} \right).$$

• Euler's method will be stable if

$$\Delta t < rac{2}{\max_i |\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i)|} = rac{\Delta x^2}{2\mu},$$

which is a manifestation of the so-called **Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy** (CFL) stability condition

$$\frac{\mu\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Implicit Schemes

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U} = \frac{\mu}{\Delta x^2} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$$

• If one uses an **implicit method** such as Crank-Nicolson the time step can be increased, but a **linear system** must be solved at each time step:

$$\frac{\mathbf{U}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{U}^{(k)}}{\Delta t} = \frac{\mu}{\Delta x^2} \mathbf{A} \left[\frac{\mathbf{U}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{U}^{(k)}}{2} \right]$$

- For time-independent problems, e.g., elliptic PDEs, one may need to solve a non-linear system of equations but Newton's method will ultimately require solving a similar linear system!
- The linear systems that appear when solving PDEs have large but sparse and structured matrices. Often preconditioned iterative methods are used.

Advection Equation

$$u_t = -cu_x$$

• Consider first a finite-difference explicit method that uses a centered difference approximation to *u*_x:

$$rac{U_i^{(k+1)}-U_i^{(k)}}{\Delta t}=-crac{U_{i+1}^{(k)}-U_{i-1}^{(k)}}{2\Delta x}$$

- While this seems reasonable, this scheme is unconditionally unstable for any time step Δt.
- If one uses at least a third-order Runge-Kutta scheme one can get a conditionally stable scheme.

Upwinding

• Instead, we need to use the physics of the equation (direction of information propagation), to come up with a **upwind discretization** that uses one-sided derivatives:

$$rac{U_i^{(k+1)}-U_i^{(k)}}{\Delta t}=egin{cases} -crac{U_i^{(k)}-U_{i-1}^{(k)}}{\Delta x} & ext{if } c>0\ -crac{U_{i+1}^{(k)}-U_i^{(k)}}{\Delta x} & ext{if } c\leq 0 \end{cases}$$

• The upwind method is stable if the **CFL stability condition** is satisfied:

$$\Delta t < \frac{\Delta x}{|c|}$$

• Constructing schemes that are stable and have good order of accuracy and are also efficient is often **an art form** and relies heavily on past experience and lessons learned over the years. There is little systematic guidance...

Conclusions

Conclusions/Summary

- The appropriate numerical method for solving a PDE depends heavily on its type: hyperbolic (advection, wave), parabolic (heat) or elliptic (Poisson or Laplace), or mixed, e.g., advection/convection-diffusion equation.
- The first step in solving a PDE is the construction of a spatial discretization of the solution: **finite-difference**, **finite-element** or **finite-volume**.
- This leads to a large system of ODEs that can in principle be solved with any of the methods we already discussed.
- Using an explicit method leads to a severe **CFL time-step** restriction due to increasing stiffness as the discretization is refined.
- One can use implicit methods but this requires solving a large sparse linear system at every time step.