# Scientific Computing, Fall 2019 Assignment VI: Quadrature and Monte Carlo Methods 

Aleksandar Donev<br>Courant Institute, NYU, donev@courant.nyu.edu<br>Posted Nov 19th, 2019<br>Due by 5pm Thursday Dec 5th, 2019

For grading purposes the maximum is considered to be 65 points (10 additional extra points possible).

## 1 [45pts] Sampling Random Numbers

We consider here Monte Carlo calculations based on the one-dimensional probability density function

$$
f(t)=t e^{-t}
$$

The mean of this distribution is 2 and the variance is also 2 . What we need is a method to sample i.i.d. variables from this distribution.

Recall from the lecture notes that sampling from the exponential distribution $f_{E}(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t}$ is simple to do using the inversion method. For this homework, you will need to implement a routine for sampling random numbers from the distribution $f_{E}(t)$, for a given $\lambda$.

## 1.1 [30pts] Histogram validation

[20pts] Write a MATLAB function that makes a histogram of a probability distribution $f(x)$ by generating a large number ( $n$ ) of i.i.d. samples and counting how many $\left(n_{i}\right)$ of them fell in a bin $i$ of width $\Delta x$ centered at $x_{i}$,

$$
\hat{f}\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x=\frac{n_{i}}{n} \approx f\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

This function should take as arguments the sampler of $f(x)$, the number of bins used in the histogram, the number of random samples, and the interval $\left[x_{\min }, x_{\max }\right]$ over which the histogram is computed.
Hint: This is best done by having one of the arguments of the histogram routine be a sampler of $f(x)$, which means a function handle for a function that returns a random number sampled from $f(x)$, rather than trying to pass $f(x)$ itself. Test your function by passing it one of the built-in samplers, for example, choose $f(x)$ to be the standard Gaussian distribution, i.e., sampler $=@() \operatorname{randn}()$.

In addition to just computing the empirical (numerical) distribution $\hat{f}(x) \approx f(x)$, return also estimates of the uncertainty in the answer, i.e., the uncertainty in the height of each bin in the histogram.
[Hint: Following the lecture notes, the variance $\sigma^{2}\left(n_{i}\right)$ of the number of samples that end up in a given bin, is $\sigma^{2}\left(n_{i}\right) \approx \bar{n}_{i}$. Since you do not know the mean you can approximate it as $\bar{n}_{i} \approx n_{i}$.]
[Hint: The MATLAB function errorbar makes plots with error bars.]
[10pts] Test your routine for sampling the exponential distribution $f_{E}$ (set $\lambda=1$, for example) by comparing the empirical histogram to the theoretical distribution function.

## 1.2 [15pts] Simple sampler

[10pts] It turns out that one can generate a sample from $f(t)$ by simply adding two independent random variables, each of which is exponentially-distributed with density $e^{-t}$. Implement a random sampler using this trick and generate $10^{4}$ i.i.d. samples from $f(t)$, and verify that the empirical mean and variance are in agreement with the theoretical values. For the mean, report an error bar and make sure the empirical result is inside a reasonable confidence interval (e.g., two standard deviations away) around the theory.
[ 5 pts ] Validate your sampler by using the histogram routine from part 1.1 , using $10^{5}$ samples and 100 bins in the interval $0 \leq t \leq 10$.
[Hint: You can eliminate any values of $x>10$.]

Historically, several great mathematicians studied the problem of computing the perimeter of an ellipse with axis lengths $1 / \pi$ and $a / \pi$, where $a \leq 1$, given by the so-called "elliptic" integral

$$
I=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

Here set $a=1 / 2$ for which $I \approx 0.93421545766769411614$.

## 2.1 [15 pts] Trapezoidal rule

Use the composite trapezoidal quadrature rule to compute the integral. Do your best not to use MATLAB's built-in routines for integration (instead, use your own code). Report how the absolute error in the numerical estimate decays with the number of points $N$ based on your numerical observations. Note that it is possible to do this without knowing the correct answer, but if you cannot figure out how to do that it is OK to use the numerical value of $I$ given above. How does the observed order of accuracy compare to the theoretical predictions from class?

## 2.2 [15 pts] Monte Carlo Method

Use the Monte Carlo method discussed in class to obtain $I$ to at least 3 digits, and confirm that your result is in agreement with the known value. [Hint: Report a confidence interval and not just a number!]

