# A Convex-Programming Framework for Super-Resolution

**Carlos Fernandez-Granda** 

www.stanford.edu/~cfgranda/



March/February 2014

# Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by a Fundación La Caixa Fellowship and a Fundación Caja Madrid Fellowship
- Collaborator : Emmanuel Candès (Department of Mathematics and of Statistics, Stanford)

#### Motivation : Limits of resolution in imaging

The resolving power of lenses, however perfect, is limited (Lord Rayleigh)



Diffraction imposes a fundamental limit on the resolution of optical systems

# Aim

#### Estimation from data that have limited resolution



- Microscopy
- Astronomy
- Electronic imaging
- Medical imaging
- Signal processing
- Radar
- Spectroscopy
- Geophysics
- ...

- > Optics : Data-acquisition techniques to overcome the diffraction limit
- Image processing : Methods to upsample images onto a finer grid while preserving edges and hallucinating textures
- **This talk** : Signal estimation from low-pass measurements

# Spatial Super-resolution



Spectrum

# Spectral Super-resolution



Spectrum

#### Point sources

In many applications signals of interest are point sources :

- Celestial bodies (astronomy)
- Fluorescent molecules (microscopy)
- Line spectra (spectroscopy, signal processing)

#### Point sources

In many applications signals of interest are point sources :

- Celestial bodies (astronomy)
- Fluorescent molecules (microscopy)
- Line spectra (spectroscopy, signal processing)
- Traditional approaches
  - 1. Fitting point-spread function to each source (matched filtering)
    - Sensitive to noise and high dynamic ranges
  - 2. Algorithms based on Prony's method : MUSIC, ESPRIT, ...
    - Parametric (number of sources must be known)
    - Extension to 2D is very computationally intensive
    - Strong assumptions on noise (Gaussian, white), signal and measurement model

Statistical estimation via convex programming

- ► In the 70s and 80s, ℓ<sub>1</sub>-norm minimization proposed for deconvolution in seismography [Claerbout, Muir '73],[Levy, Fullagar '81], [Santosa, Symes '86]
- Later, huge impact of convex-programming techniques in high-dimensional statistics
  - 1. Well-developed theory
  - 2. Robustness to noise, even in non-asymptotic regimes
  - 3. Flexibility
- Very little theory on estimation from low-resolution data (original problem tackled by geophysicists)

Super-resolution via convex programming

- ► Can we super-resolve using optimization ? Under what conditions ?
- Is the method stable to noise?
- ▶ How do we adapt to different signal, noise and measurement models?

# Super-resolution via convex programming

- Can we super-resolve using optimization? Under what conditions?
- Is the method stable to noise?
- ▶ How do we adapt to different signal, noise and measurement models?
- ► This talk : Framework for estimation from low-resolution data
  - 1. Precise theoretical analysis
  - 2. Non-asymptotic stability guarantees
  - 3. Natural extensions handle
    - Piecewise-smooth functions
    - Clustered point sources
    - Demixing of sines and spikes
    - Super-resolution from multiple measurements

Outline of the talk

Basic model

Estimation from noisy data

A general framework

#### Basic model

Estimation from noisy data

A general framework

#### Mathematical model

• Signal : superposition of Dirac measures with support T

$$x = \sum_{j} a_{j} \delta_{t_{j}}$$
  $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, t_{j} \in T \subset [0, 1]$ 

**Data** : low-pass Fourier coefficients with cut-off frequency  $f_c$ 

$$y = \mathcal{F}_{c} x$$
$$y(k) = \int_{0}^{1} e^{-i2\pi kt} x (dt) = \sum_{j} a_{j} e^{-i2\pi kt_{j}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \leq f_{c}$$

Compressed sensing vs super-resolution

Estimation of sparse signals from undersampled measurements suggests connections to compressed sensing



spectrum interpolation

spectrum extrapolation

# Compressed sensing

- Compressed sensing : stable estimation from random Fourier coefficients [Candès, Tao, Romberg '04]
- Crucial insight : measurement operator is well conditioned when acting upon sparse signals
- Equivalently, the energy of all sparse signals is preserved in the data (restricted isometry property)
- Most analyses of sparse-regression methods in high-dimensional statistics are based on similar conditions (*restricted-eigenvalue* condition, restricted strong convexity, null-space property)

# Compressed sensing

- Compressed sensing : stable estimation from random Fourier coefficients [Candès, Tao, Romberg '04]
- Crucial insight : measurement operator is well conditioned when acting upon sparse signals
- Equivalently, the energy of all sparse signals is preserved in the data (restricted isometry property)
- Most analyses of sparse-regression methods in high-dimensional statistics are based on similar conditions (*restricted-eigenvalue* condition, restricted strong convexity, null-space property)
- Do they hold in super-resolution?



Discretize support to lie on a grid with N = 4096 points



Measure *n* low-pass DFT coefficients, super-resolution factor (SRF) : N/n



Measure *n* low-pass DFT coefficients, super-resolution factor (SRF) : N/n



Restrict support of the signal to an interval of 48 contiguous points



#### Compute singular values of resulting linear operator

# Sparsity is not enough

Most clustered sparse signals are suppressed by low-pass filtering



For SRF = 4, there is a subspace S of dimension 24 where for all unit-normed  $x \in S ||\mathcal{F}_c x||_2 \le 10^{-7}$ 

For such signals estimation is impossible by any method at signal-to-noise ratios below 145 dB

Theory : prolate spheroidal sequences [Slepian '78]

# Sparsity is not enough



More refined conditions are necessary to restrict our signal model

Definition : The minimum separation  $\Delta$  of a discrete set T is

$$\Delta = \inf_{(t,t')\in \mathcal{T}\,:\,t\neq t'} \,|t-t'|$$



#### Total-variation norm

- Continuous counterpart of the  $\ell_1$  norm
- If  $x = \sum_{j} a_{j} \delta_{t_{j}}$  then  $||x||_{\mathsf{TV}} = \sum_{j} |a_{j}|$
- Not the total variation of a piecewise-constant function

#### Total-variation norm

- Continuous counterpart of the  $\ell_1$  norm
- If  $x = \sum_j a_j \delta_{t_j}$  then  $||x||_{\mathsf{TV}} = \sum_j |a_j|$
- Not the total variation of a piecewise-constant function
- Formal definition : For a complex measure v

$$||\nu||_{\mathsf{TV}} = \sup \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\nu(B_j)|,$$

(supremum over all finite partitions  $B_j$  of [0, 1])

#### Estimation via convex programming

In a zero-noise limit, i.e.  $y = \mathcal{F}_c x$ , we solve

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} = y,$$

over all finite complex measures  $\tilde{x}$  supported on [0, 1]

#### Estimation via convex programming

In a zero-noise limit, i.e.  $y = \mathcal{F}_c x$ , we solve

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} = y,$$

over all finite complex measures  $\tilde{x}$  supported on [0,1]

Theorem [Candès, F. '12]

If the minimum separation of the signal support T obeys

$$\Delta \geq 2/f_c := 2\lambda_c,$$

then recovery is exact

Nonparametric approach (no previous knowledge of the number of spikes)

#### Estimation via convex programming

In a zero-noise limit, i.e.  $y = \mathcal{F}_c x$ , we solve

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} = y,$$

over all finite complex measures  $\tilde{x}$  supported on [0,1]

Theorem [Candès, F. '14]

If the minimum separation of the signal support T obeys

$$\Delta \geq 1.38 / f_c := 1.38 \lambda_c,$$

then recovery is exact

Nonparametric approach (no previous knowledge of the number of spikes)



 $\lambda_c/2$  is the Rayleigh resolution limit



 $\lambda_c/2$  is the Rayleigh resolution limit



 $\lambda_c/2$  is the Rayleigh resolution limit

#### Numerical evaluation of minimum separation



#### Conjecture : TV-norm minimization succeeds if $\Delta \geq \lambda_c$

Sparse estimation from correlated covariates

If we discretize the support

- Sparse recovery via l<sub>1</sub>-norm minimization in an overcomplete Fourier dictionary
- Theory based on dictionary incoherence [Donoho, Stark '89], [Tropp '06] is very weak, due to high column correlation
- If the ambient dimension is 20 000 and we have 1 000 measurements, how many spikes can we recover?
Sparse estimation from correlated covariates

If we discretize the support

- Sparse recovery via l<sub>1</sub>-norm minimization in an overcomplete Fourier dictionary
- Theory based on dictionary incoherence [Donoho, Stark '89], [Tropp '06] is very weak, due to high column correlation
- If the ambient dimension is 20 000 and we have 1 000 measurements, how many spikes can we recover?

Previous theory [Dossal, Mallat '05] : 3 spikes

Sparse estimation from correlated covariates

If we discretize the support

- Sparse recovery via l<sub>1</sub>-norm minimization in an overcomplete Fourier dictionary
- Theory based on dictionary incoherence [Donoho, Stark '89], [Tropp '06] is very weak, due to high column correlation
- If the ambient dimension is 20 000 and we have 1 000 measurements, how many spikes can we recover?

Previous theory [Dossal, Mallat '05] : 3 spikes Our result : 362 spikes

#### Piecewise-constant functions

- Signal : piecewise-constant function
- Measurements : low-pass Fourier coefficients



Corollary

Solving min  $\|\tilde{x}^{(1)}\|_{TV}$  subject to  $\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{x} = y$ vields exact recovery if  $\Delta \ge 1.38 \lambda_c$ 

Similar result for cont. differentiable piecewise-smooth functions

# Higher dimensions

► Signal : superposition of point sources (delta measures) in 2D

Measurements : low-pass 2D Fourier coefficients

Theorem [Candès, F. 2012]

TV-norm minimization yields exact recovery if

 $\Delta \geq 2.38\,\lambda_{c}$ 

In dimension d,  $\Delta \geq C_d \lambda_c$ , where  $C_d$  only depends on d

## Sketch of proof : Dual polynomial

A sufficient condition for

$$x = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} a_j \delta_{t_j} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} |a_j| e^{i\phi_j} \delta_{t_j}$$

to be the unique solution is that there exists q such that

1. 
$$q(t) = \sum_{k=-f_c}^{f_c} b_k e^{i2\pi kt}$$
 (low pass polynomial)  
2.  $q(t_j) = e^{i\phi_j}$ ,  $t_j \in T$  (interpolates the sign of the signal on  $T$ )  
3.  $|q(t)| < 1$ ,  $t \in T^c$ 

q is a subgradient of the TV norm at the signal x that is orthogonal to the null space of the measurement operator

# Sketch of proof : Dual polynomial





$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, K(t - t_j),$$



$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, K(t - t_j),$$



$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, K(t - t_j),$$



$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, K(t - t_j),$$



$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, K(t - t_j),$$



Problem : Magnitude of polynomial locally exceeds 1



Problem : Magnitude of polynomial locally exceeds 1 Solution : Add correction term and force  $q'(t_k) = 0$  for all  $t_k \in T$ 

$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, K(t-t_j) + \beta_j \, K'(t-t_j)$$



Problem : Magnitude of polynomial locally exceeds 1 Solution : Add correction term and force  $q'(t_k) = 0$  for all  $t_k \in T$ 

$$q(t) = \sum_{t_j \in T} \alpha_j \, \mathcal{K}(t - t_j) + \beta_j \, \mathcal{K}'(t - t_j)$$

Sketch of proof : Interpolation kernel

#### Key step : Designing a good interpolation kernel



Trade-off between spikiness at the origin and asymptotic decay

# Sketch of proof : Non-asymptotic bounds on kernel



## Dual polynomial as theoretical tool

Subsequent work builds on our construction to analyze

- Stability of super-resolution [Candès, F. '13], [F. '13], [Azais, De Castro, Gamboa '13], [Duval, Peyré '13]
- Denoising of line spectra [Tang, Bhaskar, Recht '13]
- Compressed sensing off the grid [Tang, Bhaskar, Shah, Recht '13]
- Recovery of splines from their projection onto spaces of algebraic polynomials [Bendory, Dekel, Feuer '13], [De Castro, Mijoule '14]
- Recovery of point sources from spherical harmonics [Bendory, Dekel, Feuer '13]

#### Practical implementation

Primal problem :

 $\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} = y$ 

Infinite-dimensional variable  $\tilde{x}$  (measure in [0, 1])

First option : Discretizing +  $\ell_1$ -norm minimization

#### Practical implementation

Primal problem :

 $\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\text{TV}} \text{ subject to } \mathcal{F}_{c} \tilde{x} = y$ Infinite-dimensional variable  $\tilde{x}$  (measure in [0, 1]) First option : Discretizing +  $\ell_1$ -norm minimization

Dual problem :

$$\max_{\widetilde{u}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \operatorname{Re}\left[y^*\widetilde{u}\right] \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c^* \, \widetilde{u}||_\infty \leq 1, \quad n := 2f_c + 1$$

Finite-dimensional variable  $\tilde{u}$ , but infinite-dimensional constraint

$$\mathcal{F}_c^* \, \tilde{u} = \sum_{k \le |f_c|} \tilde{u}_k e^{i 2\pi k t}$$

Second option : Solving the dual problem

#### Lemma : Semidefinite representation

The Fejér-Riesz Theorem and the semidefinite representation of polynomial sums of squares imply that

$$\left|\left|\mathcal{F}_{c}^{*} \, \tilde{u}
ight|\right|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

is equivalent to

There exists a Hermitian matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n imes n}$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{u}^* & 1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} Q_{i,i+j} = \begin{cases} 1, & j=0, \\ 0, & j=1,2,\ldots,n-1. \end{cases}$$

Consequence : The dual problem is a tractable semidefinite program

How do we obtain an estimator from the dual solution?

**Dual solution vector** : Fourier coefficients of low-pass polynomial that interpolates the sign of the primal solution (follows from strong duality)

Idea : Use the polynomial to locate the support of the signal







1. Solve semidefinite program to obtain dual solution



2. Locate points at which corresponding polynomial has unit magnitude



3. Estimate amplitudes via least squares

### Support-location accuracy

f <sub>c</sub>	25	50	75	100
Average error	$6.6610^{-9}$	$1.7010^{-9}$	$5.5810^{-10}$	$2.9610^{-10}$
Maximum error	$1.8310^{-7}$	$8.1410^{-8}$	$2.5510^{-8}$	$2.3110^{-8}$

For each  $f_c$ , 100 random signals with  $|T| = f_c/4$  and  $\Delta(T) \ge 2/f_c$ 

Basic model

#### Estimation from noisy data

A general framework

### Estimation from noisy data

We assume additive noise with norm bounded by  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ 

$$y = \mathcal{F}_c x + \mathbf{z}$$

Our estimator is the solution to

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \leq \delta,$$

#### Estimation from noisy data

We assume additive noise with norm bounded by  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ 

$$y = \mathcal{F}_c x + \mathbf{z}$$

Our estimator is the solution to

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \leq \delta,$$

Metrics to quantify estimation accuracy :

- 1. Approximation error at a higher resolution
- 2. Support-detection error

#### Super-resolution factor : spectral viewpoint



Super-resolution factor

$$SRF = \frac{f}{f_c}$$

#### Super-resolution factor : spatial viewpoint

Signal at a resolution  $\lambda$  : convolution with a kernel  $\phi_{\lambda}$  of width  $\lambda$ 



Super-resolution factor

$$\mathsf{SRF} = \frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda_f}$$

#### Approximation at a higher resolution

At the resolution of the measurements

$$\left|\left|\phi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda_{c}}}*(\boldsymbol{x_{\text{est}}}-\boldsymbol{x})\right|\right|_{L_{1}} \leq \delta$$

How does the estimate degrade at a higher resolution?

#### Approximation at a higher resolution

At the resolution of the measurements

$$||\phi_{\lambda_{c}} * (x_{\text{est}} - x)||_{L_{1}} \leq \delta$$

How does the estimate degrade at a higher resolution?

#### Theorem [Candès, F. 2012]

If  $\Delta \geq 1.38\,/f_c$  then the solution  $\hat{x}$  to

$$\begin{split} \min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} & \text{subject to} & ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \leq \delta, \\ \text{satisfies} & \left| \left| \phi_{\lambda_f} * (\hat{x} - x) \right| \right|_{L_1} \lesssim \, \mathsf{SRF}^2 \, \delta \end{split}$$

Practical implementation at a noise level  $\delta$ 

Primal problem :

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta$$

First option : Discretizing +  $\ell_1$ -norm minimization

Dual problem :

 $\max_{\tilde{u}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \, \operatorname{Re}\left[y^*\tilde{u}\right] - \frac{\delta}{\|\tilde{u}\|_2} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c^*\,\tilde{u}||_\infty \leq 1, \quad n := 2f_c + 1$ 

Second option : Solving the dual problem

Practical implementation at a noise level  $\delta$ 

Primal problem :

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta$$

First option : Discretizing +  $\ell_1$ -norm minimization

Dual problem :

 $\max_{\widetilde{u}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \,\, {\rm Re}\,[y^*\widetilde{u}] - \delta\,||\widetilde{u}||_2 \quad {\rm subject \ to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c^*\,\widetilde{u}||_\infty \leq 1, \quad n:=2f_c+1$ 

Second option : Solving the dual problem

#### Dual solution :

Coefficients of polynomial that interpolates sign of primal solution
#### Minimum separation : $1.5 \lambda_c$



SNR 20 dB



SNR 20 dB



SNR 15 dB



SNR 15 dB



SNR 5 dB



SNR 5 dB



# Support-detection accuracy

- ► Original support : T
- Estimated support :  $\hat{T}$

Theorem [F. 2013]

For any  $t_i \in T$ , if  $|a_i| > C_1 \delta$  there exists  $\hat{t}_i \in \hat{T}$  such that

$$\left|t_{i}-\hat{t}_{i}\right|\leq rac{1}{f_{c}}\sqrt{rac{C_{2}\delta}{|a_{i}|-C_{1}\delta}}$$

#### No dependence on the amplitude of the signal at other locations

## Consequence

Robustness of the algorithm to high dynamic ranges



SNR 20 dB (15 dB without the large spike)

# Consequence

Robustness of the algorithm to high dynamic ranges



SNR 20 dB (15 dB without the large spike)

- Non-asymptotic results, whereas most theory for Prony-based methods is asymptotic (convergence of sample autocorrelation matrices)
- Usual proof techniques from high-dimensional statistics do not apply
  - 1. Conditions (restricted-isometry property, restricted-eigenvalue condition, etc.) do not hold
  - 2. Estimation takes place over a continuous domain
- Proofs combine insights from harmonic analysis and convex optimization (generalization of dual polynomials)

Basic model

Estimation from noisy data

A general framework

Incorporating different assumptions on the signal, the noise and the sensing process is important in applications

We can do this by adapting the cost function and constraints of the optimization problem

This section :

- 1. Super-resolution of clustered point sources
- 2. Demixing of sines and spikes
- 3. Super-resolution from multiple measurements

#### Aim : Super-resolving signals structured in small clusters



Clustered point sources Minimum separation =  $0.6 \lambda_c$ , SNR = 25 dB



Clustered point sources Minimum separation =  $0.6 \lambda_c$ , SNR = 25 dB



Computing a coarse estimate S of the support is easy



Computing a coarse estimate S of the support is easy



Support-locating polynomial obtained from solving the dual of

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \leq \delta$$



Support-locating polynomial obtained from solving the dual of

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta$$



Support-locating polynomial obtained from solving the dual of

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta \quad x_{\mathsf{S}^c} = \mathbf{0}$$

The magnitude of the polynomial is only constrained on S



Support-locating polynomial obtained from solving the dual of

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta \quad x_{\mathsf{S}}c = \mathbf{0}$$

The magnitude of the polynomial is only constrained on S



Support-locating polynomial obtained from solving the dual of

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta \quad x_{\mathsf{SC}} = \mathbf{0}$$

The magnitude of the polynomial is only constrained on S



Support-locating polynomial obtained from solving the dual of

$$\min_{\tilde{x}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} - y||_2 \le \delta \quad x_{\mathsf{S}}c = 0$$

Without noise, we have exact recovery



#### Joint work with Raf Mertens (Stanford)

**Aim** : Super-resolving the spectrum of a multi-sinusoidal signal (sines) in the presence of impulsive events (spikes)





#### Sines



# Sines



 $\mathcal{F}_{c} x + s$ 



 $\mathcal{F}_{c} x$ s

Estimator : Solution to

 $\min_{\tilde{x}, \, \tilde{s}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} + \gamma ||\tilde{s}||_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} + \tilde{s} = y$ 

Dual problem :

 $\max_{\widetilde{u}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \,\, {\rm Re}\,[y^*\widetilde{u}] \quad {\rm subject \ to} \quad ||\mathcal{F}_c^*\,\widetilde{u}||_\infty \leq 1, \quad ||\widetilde{u}||_\infty \leq \gamma$ 

Estimator : Solution to

 $\min_{\tilde{x}, \, \tilde{s}} ||\tilde{x}||_{\mathsf{TV}} + \gamma ||\tilde{s}||_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{F}_c \, \tilde{x} + \tilde{s} = y$ 

Dual problem :

$$\max_{\tilde{u}\in\mathbb{C}''}\,\operatorname{Re}\left[y^*\tilde{u}\right]\quad \text{subject to}\quad \left|\left|\mathcal{F}_c^*\,\tilde{u}\right|\right|_\infty\leq 1,\quad \left|\left|\tilde{u}\right|\right|_\infty\leq \gamma$$

**Dual solution** :  $\hat{u}$ 

- $\hat{u}$  interpolates the sign of the primal solution  $\hat{s}$
- $\mathcal{F}_c^* \hat{u}$  interpolates the sign of the primal solution  $\hat{x}$

û

Dual solution









Spikes

Sines (spectrum)

Super-resolution from multiple measurements

Aim : Super-resolving K signals with the same support

**Motivation** : Fluorescence microscopy (PALM, STORM), astronomy and communications


Minimum separation =  $0.7 \lambda_c$ 



Dual solutions obtained by solving separate problems

### Group total variation

Estimator : Solution to minimizing group total-variation norm

- Continuous analog of  $\ell_1 \ell_2$  norm
- Promotes group sparsity

• If 
$$X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$$
,  $a(t_j) \in \mathbb{C}^3$  for each  $t_j \in T$  and

$$x_k = \sum_{t_j \in T} a(t_j)_k \delta_{t_j}$$
 then  $||X||_{\mathsf{GTV}} = \sum_{t_j \in T} ||a(t_j)||_2$ 

# Group total variation

Estimator : Solution to minimizing group total-variation norm

- Continuous analog of  $\ell_1 \ell_2$  norm
- Promotes group sparsity
- ▶ If  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $a(t_j) \in \mathbb{C}^3$  for each  $t_j \in T$  and

$$x_k = \sum_{t_j \in \mathcal{T}} a(t_j)_k \delta_{t_j}$$
 then  $||X||_{\mathsf{GTV}} = \sum_{t_j \in \mathcal{T}} ||a(t_j)||_2$ 

**Dual solution** :

*K*-dimensional low-pass polynomial with unit magnitude on the estimate of the common support



The estimator locates the support exactly



### Minimum separation : As K grows, $\Delta_{\min} \rightarrow \lambda_c/2$

30 Number of spikes 2010 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 Minimum separation  $\Delta_{\min}/\lambda_c$ K = 2 (complex amplitudes) 30 Number of spikes 20100.2 0.4 0.6 0.8Minimum separation  $\Delta_{\min}/\lambda_c$ 

K = 1 (real amplitudes)

K = 1 (complex amplitudes)



0.2

10

0.2

0.4

0.6

Minimum separation  $\Delta_{\min}/\lambda_c$ 

0.8

# Conclusion

Convex programming is a powerful tool for estimation from low-res data :

- Precise theoretical analysis
- Non-asymptotic stability guarantees
- Flexible framework

# Conclusion

Convex programming is a powerful tool for estimation from low-res data :

- Precise theoretical analysis
- Non-asymptotic stability guarantees
- Flexible framework

Lots of work to do :

- Developing fast sdp solvers exploiting the structure in the dual problem
- Deconvolution from irregular samples
- Super-resolution of 2D curves
- Blind deconvolution : joint estimation of signal + point-spread function

# Research directions

Generic goal in modern data processing :

#### Finding low-dimensional structure in high-dimensional data

*This talk* : Understanding the interaction between the data acquisition mechanism and the low-dimensional structure pays off !

Future directions :

- Sparse regression with highly-correlated design matrices e.g. dictionary of decaying exponentials
- Statistical processing of projected data e.g. dimensionality reduction in big-data
- Data-driven regularization : e.g. transform-invariant regularizers in computer vision

# Image upsampling via transform-invariant regularization



**Aim** : Achieving large upsampling factors through data-driven regularizers that are approximately invariant to the projection onto the imaging plane

### For more details

- Towards a mathematical theory of super-resolution. E. J. Candès and C. Fernandez-Granda. Communications on Pure and Applied Math.
- Super-resolution from noisy data. E. J. Candès and C. Fernandez-Granda. Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 19 (6), 1229-1254.
- Support detection in super-resolution. C. Fernandez-Granda. Proceedings of SampTA 2013, 145-148.
- Super-resolution of point sources via convex programming.
  C. Fernandez-Granda. Preprint.

# Thank you



