

There were: 10 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MATH Avg	MATH SU16	Div Avg	Div SU16	Sch Avg	Sch SU16						
Grp	Instructor Questions (MILES)			5.65	5.14	5.64	5.12	5.61	5.28	5.60						
Grp	Course Questions			5.65	5.03	4.98	4.99	5.03	5.15	5.38						
											Str Disagr	Disagr	Mild Disagr	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
1	Objectives clearly stated	7	70%	5.71	5.14	4.58	5.11	4.79	5.23	5.44					29% (2)	71% (5)
2	Objectives met	7	70%	5.57	5.10	4.75	5.06	4.84	5.21	5.42					43% (3)	57% (4)
3	Content well-organized	7	70%	5.86	5.02	4.92	4.98	5	5.12	5.33					14% (1)	86% (6)
4	Course materials helpful	7	70%	5.71	4.94	5	4.92	4.89	5.11	5.35					29% (2)	71% (5)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	6	60%	5.67	5.08	5.17	4.96	5.16	5.18	5.37				17% (1)		83% (5)
6	Learned great deal	7	70%	5.43	4.94	5.42	4.93	5.47	5.11	5.40				14% (1)	29% (2)	57% (4)
7	Overall effective course	7	70%	5.57	4.93	5	4.90	5.05	5.10	5.38				14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)
9	Instructor was organized (MILES)	7	70%	5.43	5.10	5.29	5.07	5.33	5.22	5.49					57% (4)	43% (3)
10	Instructor presented effectively (MILES)	7	70%	5.71	4.91	5.46	4.91	5.4	5.13	5.44					29% (2)	71% (5)
11	Instructor created respectful environment (MILES)	7	70%	5.57	5.21	5.85	5.19	5.8	5.34	5.68					43% (3)	57% (4)
12	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (MILES)	7	70%	5.86	5.32	5.85	5.30	5.8	5.43	5.76					14% (1)	86% (6)
13	Instructor encouraged questions/opinions (MILES)	7	70%	5.57	5.17	5.85	5.15	5.8	5.34	5.64					43% (3)	57% (4)
14	Instructor available for student consultation (MILES)	6	60%	5.5	5.22	5.62	5.15	5.6	5.30	5.56				17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)
15	Overall effective instructor (MILES)	7	70%	5.86	5.03	5.58	5.02	5.58	5.23	5.59					14% (1)	86% (6)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	The instructor knew the content inside and out. The instructor was always available, and encouraged one on one time with himself.
	Quizzes and homeworks were effective study aids throughout the course, both in terms of highlighting what to know and as review materials when solutions were posted.
	Unlike the spring/fall semesters of math courses, the lab questioned corresponded well with the material we were actually covering in class.
	Chris put an amazing amount of time in with students to make sure we understood the material.
	Question: Instructor Comments
MILES	The instructor had an in depth understanding of course content and could clearly explain answers to questions. He was always on time. The instructor also made me want to be better at the material, not just learn it and be done.
MILES	Outstanding lecture style and consistent notation throughout the course made Chris's explanations of textbook solutions an asset to interpreting derivations and learning important properties of PDEs. One of the few math classes I've taken where the why was just as well done as the how. Even if it took me a bit to fully appreciate both!
MILES	His flexibility and control over assignments made the course material much better. Good lectures.
MILES	Chris was always helpful and willing to help his students understand the materials. He taught the material well and what he wrote on the board helped me take good notes.
MILES	Great instinstor. used clean, week put together graphical elements to aid conceptual understanding.