

①

CFD SPRING 2013

A. DONEV

SPLITTING METHODS

$$u_t + \underbrace{\nabla \cdot (au)}_{\text{non-stiff advection}} = \underbrace{\nabla \cdot (D \nabla u)}_{\text{stiff diffusion}} + \underbrace{f(u)}_{\text{very stiff chemistry}}$$

Splitting methods use different temporal integrators for different parts.

Main caveat:

Only "easy" to do up to second order accuracy!

SPLITTING ERRORS

②

$$w' = A w = (A_1 + A_2) w$$

$$w(t_{n+1}) = e^{\tau A} w(t_n)$$

$$= e^{\tau (A_1 + A_2)} w(t_n)$$

SPLITTING } $\approx e^{\tau A_2} e^{\tau A_1} w_n$

$$w^{n+1} = e^{\tau A_2} e^{\tau A_1} w^n \leftarrow \text{Lie SPLITTING}$$

This is in general only first-order accurate even if A_1/A_2 exponentials handled exactly!

③

BCH formula:

$$e^{\bar{\tau} A_2} e^{\bar{\tau} A_1} = e^{\bar{\tau} \tilde{A}}$$

$$\tilde{A} = A + \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2} [A_2, A_1] + O(\bar{\tau}^2)$$

$$[A_2, A_1] = A_2 A_1 - A_1 A_2 \quad \text{commutator}$$

\Rightarrow Lie splitting exactly solves
the modified equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w' = \tilde{A} w = A w - \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2} [A_2, A_1] w \\ \text{so error is } O(\bar{\tau}) \end{array} \right.$$

④ One can do second-order splitting by symmetrizing the order of splitting to eliminate odd powers of τ :

$$w^{n+1} = e^{\tau A_1/2} e^{\tau A_2} e^{\tau A_1/2} w^n$$

STRANG splitting

Local truncation error

$$S^n = \frac{\tau^2}{24} \left([A_1, [A_1, A_2]] + 2[A_2, [A_1, A_2]] \right) w^{n+1/2}$$

or

$$w^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{\tau A_1} e^{\tau A_2} + e^{\tau A_2} e^{\tau A_1} \right] w^n$$

⑤ One can do similar tricks with multi-component splitting

$$A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

$$w^{n+1} = e^{\tau A_1/2} e^{\tau A_2/2} e^{\tau A_3/\tau} e^{\tau A_2/2} e^{\tau A_1/2} w^n$$

Some results for advection-diffusion

① Advection commutes with diffusion only if $\underline{a} = \text{const}$ and $D = \text{const}$

② Advection commutes with local terms (reaction, forcing, etc.) if $\nabla \cdot \underline{a} = 0$ and forcing is autonomous $f \equiv f(u)$

⑥

Non linear case

$$u_t(x, t) = f[x, u(x, t)]$$

$$f = f_1 + f_2$$

$$u^{n+1} = S_{\bar{\tau}}^2 \left[S_{\bar{\tau}}^1 (u^n) \right] \leftarrow \text{Lie splitting}$$

one-step update for $u_t = f_1$ and $u_t = f_2$

Local truncation error

$$S_n \approx \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2} \left[\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u} f_2 - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u} f_1 \right] u^n = \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2} [f_1, f_2] u^n$$

commutator for functions

⑦ Problems with splitting:

- 1) Higher-order integrators are complicated
- 2) For PDEs, the physical boundary conditions are given for the whole problem, NOT for each of the split problems individually (think advection-diffusion splitting)

$$w' = Aw + g(t) = (A_1 w + g_1) + (A_2 w + g_2)$$

$$w^{n+1} = e^{\tau A_2} e^{\tau A_1} w^n + e^{\tau A_2} \int_0^{\tau} e^{(\tau-s)A_1} g_1(s) ds + \int_0^{\tau} e^{(\tau-s)A_2} g_2(s) ds \neq \text{exact}$$

⑧ So now we have an additional splitting error due to BCs; even if $[A_1, A_2] = 0$!

$$\text{error} \approx \int_0^\tau e^{(\tau-s)A} g(s) ds -$$

$$\left[e^{\tau A_2} \int_0^\tau e^{(\tau-s)A_1} g_1(s) ds + \int_0^\tau e^{(\tau-s)A_2} g_2(s) ds \right]$$

3) If A_1 has eigenvalues proportional to $-1/\epsilon$, $\tau/\epsilon \gg 1$ (very stiff problem), then even Strang splitting is first order (reduction of order)