

Example.  $F(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .  $F(x, y, z) = C > 0$  is a level set on which  $\nabla F(x, y, z) = (2x, 2y, 2z) \neq 0$ , so

$F(x, y, z) = C$  is a differentiable surface.

Indeed we know it represents a sphere

Exercise.  $F(x, y) = xy$ .  $F(x, y) = C$  is a differentiable curve if  $C \neq 0$ . And what happened for  $C=0$ ?

We can use the formula in the Implicit Function Theorem to compute the tangent line / tangent surface...

Example. Find the tangent line of  $\frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{y^2}{9} = 1$  at  $(1, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2})$

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{y^2}{9} \quad \nabla F(x, y) = \left( \frac{x}{2}, \frac{2y}{9} \right)$$

We see  $\frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \neq 0$ , so locally  $y = g(x)$  on  $F(x, y) = 1$ , i.e.  $F(x, g(x)) = 1$ .

$$\text{then } g'(x) = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}} = -\frac{\frac{x}{2}}{\frac{2y}{9}} = -\frac{9x}{4y}$$

$g'(1) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ , the tangent line is

$$y - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(x-1)$$

Theorem:  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function.

If  $F(x, y, z)$  defines a surface, i.e.  $\nabla F(x, y, z) \neq \vec{0}$  for any  $F(x, y, z) = C$ , then  $\nabla F(x, y, z)$  is a normal vector field on the surface.

Proof: Without loss of generality, assume  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(x_0, y_0, z_0) \neq 0$ . Then by Implicit Function Theorem, there's  $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni A \xrightarrow{G} B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$   $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ ,  $z_0 \in B$  such that  $F(x, y, G(x, y)) = C$  on  $A$  so locally the surface is the graph of  $z = G(x, y)$ .

$$\nabla G(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(x_0, y_0, z_0)} \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0, z_0), -\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0, z_0) \right]$$

We know  $(-\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0, z_0), -\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0, z_0), 1)$  is a normal vector to the surface at  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ , and

$$(-\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0, z_0), -\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0, z_0), 1) = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(x_0, y_0, z_0)} \nabla F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$$

So  $\nabla F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  is also a normal vector.

Example: Find the equation of the tangent plane of  $x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2 = 6$  at  $(1, 1, 1)$ .

Let  $F(x, y, z) = x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2$ .

$$\nabla F(x, y, z) = (2x, 4y, 6z), \text{ so } \nabla F(1, 1, 1) = (2, 4, 6)$$

The equation of the tangent plane is

$$2(x-1) + 4(y-1) + 6(z-1) = 0$$

Similar to the discussions about curves in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we can also study curves in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by the implicit function theorem.

**Proposition.**  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a smooth map.  $F(x, y, z) = (C_1, C_2)$  a level set on which  $DF$  is of rank 2 everywhere, then  $F(x, y, z) = (C_1, C_2)$  represents a differentiable curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Example:**  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by  $F(x, y, z) = (xy, yz + xz)$

$$DF = \begin{pmatrix} y & x & 0 \\ z & z & x+y \end{pmatrix}$$

We see  $DF(1, 1, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  invertible, so near  $(1, 1, 1)$  there's function  $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $F(x, G(x)) = (1, 2)$

So near  $(1, 1, 1)$   $F(x, y, z) = (1, 2)$  is the curve  $\vec{r}(t) = (t, G(t))$  for  $t$  near 1, and we can compute  $\vec{r}'(1)$  by  $\vec{r}'(t) = (1, G'(t))$

$$G'(1) = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so  $\vec{r}'(1) = (1, -1, 0)$

## APPLICATIONS IN ECONOMICS.

Example. The demand  $D$  for a goods is a functions of the price  $P$  before tax and the sales tax is  $t$  per unit:

$$D = f(t, p)$$

Suppose  $S$  is the supply, which is a function of price  $P$ :

$$S = g(p)$$

The equilibrium price  $p$  is a function of the sales tax  $t$ , implicitly defined by

$$f(t, p) = g(p)$$

$$\text{Let } F(t, p) = f(t, p) - g(p) = 0$$

$DF = \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} - \frac{dg}{dp} \right]$ , so by the implicit function theorem

the equilibrium price  $p$  is a function of  $t$ , if  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} - \frac{dg}{dp} \neq 0$

In reality,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} < 0$  and  $\frac{dg}{dp} > 0$ , so  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} - \frac{dg}{dp} \neq 0$ .

$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} - \frac{dg}{dp}} < 0$ , which implies a increase

in sales tax will make the equilibrium of price before tax decrease.

Example. A firm produces  $Q = f(L)$  units of goods when using  $L$  units of labour. Assume  $f'(L) > 0, f''(L) < 0$ .

If the firm gets  $P$  dollars per unit produced and pays  $w$  dollars for a unit of labour. Then the profit function is given by:

$$\pi(L) = \underbrace{Pf(L)}_{\text{revenue}} - \underbrace{wL}_{\text{cost}}$$

Let  $L^*$  be the units of Labour that maximizes  $\pi(L)$ , we know

$$\pi'(L^*) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } Pf'(L^*) - w = 0$$

$$\text{Let } F(P, w, L^*) = Pf'(L^*) - w = 0$$

$$\nabla F = [f'(L^*), -1, Pf''(L^*)]$$

Since  $Pf''(L^*) \neq 0$ ,  $L^*$  is a function of  $P$  &  $w$ .

$$L^* = G(P, w), \quad Pf'(G(P, w)) - w = 0$$

$$\left[ \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial P}, \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial w} \right] = - \frac{1}{Pf''(L^*)} [f'(L^*), -1]$$

$$= \left[ -\frac{f'(L^*)}{Pf''(L^*)}, \frac{1}{Pf''(L^*)} \right]$$

We see as price increases,  $L^*$  increases.

as salary increases,  $L^*$  decreases