

DUAL SPACES AND BILINEAR FORMS

Definition. V is a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} . A map $T: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is defined to be a linear map if $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow T(\lambda\vec{u} + \mu\vec{v}) = \lambda T(\vec{u}) + \mu T(\vec{v})$.

Given a vector space V over a field \mathbb{F} , denote V^* to be the set of all linear maps $V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. We can define an addition and a scalar multiplication on V^* :

(i) (Addition) $\forall T_1, T_2 \in V^*$, $T_1 + T_2$ is the linear map

$$(T_1 + T_2)(\vec{v}) = T_1(\vec{v}) + T_2(\vec{v}) \quad \forall \vec{v} \in V.$$

(ii) (Scalar Multiplication) $\forall T \in V^*, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, λT is the linear map $(\lambda T)(\vec{v}) = \lambda T(\vec{v}) \quad \forall \vec{v} \in V$.

Definition. The dual vector space of V is the vector V^* with addition and scalar multiplication defined as above.

In case when the vector space is of finite dimension, there's a good way to represent linear maps:

One way to understand a linear map is to regard it as a linear transformation from \mathbb{F}^n to \mathbb{F}^1 , so it will be represented by a $1 \times n$ matrix (i.e. a row vector (a_1, \dots, a_n)). If $\varphi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then $\varphi(\vec{v}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$,

and $a_i = \varphi(\vec{e}_i)$. This observation motivates the following:

Lemma. If V is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} , then V^* is also a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} , and $\dim V^* = \dim V$.

Proof. If we take a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ for V , where $n = \dim V$, define $\varphi_i \in V^*$ to be the linear map satisfying

$$\varphi_i(\vec{v}_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Then we'll show $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ forms a basis of V^* and we call it the dual basis of $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$.

First, we show $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are linearly independent:

If $\lambda_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \varphi_n = 0$ for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

For any \vec{e}_i , $(\lambda_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \varphi_n)(\vec{v}_i) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_i \varphi_i(\vec{v}_i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_i = 0 \text{ since } \varphi_i(\vec{v}_i) = 1$$

So $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$, we see $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are linearly independent.

Next, we show $\text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\} = V^*$:

For any $\varphi \in V^*$, let $\mu_i = \varphi(\vec{v}_i)$, then we see

$$\varphi(\vec{v}_i) = \mu_i = (\mu_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \mu_n \varphi_n)(\vec{v}_i)$$

i.e. φ and $\mu_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \mu_n \varphi_n$ agree on the basis $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$, so by linearity, $\varphi = \mu_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \mu_n \varphi_n$.

We conclude $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is a basis for V^* .

Definition. V is a vector space over \mathbb{F} . A bilinear form on V

is a map $V \times V \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{F}$

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) \longmapsto \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle$$

such that (i) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}, \vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$

$$\langle \lambda \vec{u} + \mu \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = \lambda \langle \vec{u}, \vec{w} \rangle + \mu \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$$

(ii) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}, \vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$.

$$\langle \vec{w}, \lambda \vec{u} + \mu \vec{v} \rangle = \lambda \langle \vec{w}, \vec{u} \rangle + \mu \langle \vec{w}, \vec{v} \rangle$$

Example. The dot product on \mathbb{R}^n is a bilinear form:

$$(\lambda \vec{u} + \mu \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w} = \lambda \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w} + \mu \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w},$$

$$\vec{w} \cdot (\lambda \vec{u} + \mu \vec{v}) = \lambda \vec{w} \cdot \vec{u} + \mu \vec{w} \cdot \vec{v}.$$

Definition. A bilinear form is symmetric if $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V, \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{u} \rangle$

A bilinear form is nondegenerate if $\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0 \forall \vec{v} \in V$ implies $\vec{u} = \vec{0}$.

There is a linear transformation $V \rightarrow V^*$ corresponding to a given bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V :

$$\begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow V^* \\ \vec{u} &\longmapsto \langle \vec{u}, \cdot \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. The map $V \rightarrow V^*$ induced by a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is injective if and only if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate.

Proof. Follows directly from the definition.

A good way to represent a bilinear form is to use matrices.

Given a bilinear form \langle , \rangle on a finite dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{F} , let $n = \dim V$.

Take a basis of V : $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$

let $a_{ij} = \langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle$, we form an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$.

For any $\vec{x} = x_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n$ and $\vec{y} = y_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + y_n \vec{v}_n$.

We can see:

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = (x_1, \dots, x_n) A \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

by verifying for $\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle$ then extend by linearity:

$$\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle = a_{ij} = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ i\text{-th}}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) A \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \underset{j\text{-th}}{1} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So once we fix a basis of V , there's a one-to-one correspondence between bilinear forms on V and $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F} .

So now we've seen a new point of view for vectors and matrices: When we fix a basis for an n -dimensional vector space V over a field \mathbb{F} , a vector (a_1, \dots, a_n) describes a linear map $V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and a matrix (a_{ij}) describes a bilinear form (map) $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. This point of view indicates we can generalize the concepts of vectors and matrices by considering multilinear maps:

$$\underbrace{V \times V \times \dots \times V}_{m \text{ copies}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$$