

Theorem. If  $V$  has basis  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  and  $V^*$  has dual basis  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ , then  $\{\varphi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i_k}\}$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  forms a basis for  $\Lambda^k(V)$ . So  $\dim \Lambda^k(V) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$

Proof. If  $w \in \Lambda^k(V)$ , we can write it as

$$w = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varphi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{i_k}$$

$$w = \text{Alt}(w) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \text{Alt}(\varphi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{i_k})$$

$$\text{and each } \text{Alt}(\varphi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{i_k}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k!} \varphi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i_k} & \text{if } i_1, \dots, i_k \text{ distinct} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so  $\{\varphi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i_k}\}$  span  $\Lambda^k(V)$

We also need to show the linearly independence:

$$\text{If } \sum c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varphi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i_k} = 0,$$

$$\text{Observe } \varphi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i_k}(\vec{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{j_k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_k = j_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Exercise)  $(j_1 < \dots < j_k)$ .

so we can get linearly independence by applying

$$\sum c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varphi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i_k} \text{ to each } (\vec{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{j_k})$$

Corollary If  $\dim V = n$ , then  $\dim \Lambda^n(V) = 1$ .

Theorem Let  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $T \in \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  
If  $\vec{w}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \vec{v}_j$  are  $n$  vectors in  $V$ , then:

$$T(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n) = \det(a_{ij}) \cdot T(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$$

Proof Define  $S \in \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$S\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \vec{e}_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \vec{e}_j\right) = T\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \vec{v}_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \vec{v}_j\right)$$

where  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since  $\det \in \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is nonzero,  $\dim \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$ .

so  $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$S = \lambda \det$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } T(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n) &= S\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \vec{e}_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \vec{e}_j\right) \\ &= \lambda \det\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \vec{e}_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \vec{e}_j\right) \\ &= \lambda \det(a_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

$$T(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n) = S(\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n) = \lambda \det(\delta_{ij}) = \lambda$$

$$\text{So } T(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n) = \det(a_{ij}) T(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$$

Corollary. Take the standard basis  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$  is its dual basis on  $V^*$

$$\text{Then } \det = \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_n$$

Remark. By the discussion above, we have another way to define  $\det$ :

The determinant map for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the unique alternating  $n$ -tensor whose value on  $(\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n)$  is 1, where  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Example. Recall when we studied suffix notations, we defined the "alternating tensor"  $\epsilon_{ijk}$ .

If we take the standard basis  $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$  is the dual basis. Then we have shown before

$$\epsilon_{ijk} u_i v_j w_k = \vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = \det(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w})$$

So  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  indeed represents the determinant on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and this expression above tells us that

$$\det = \sum_{0 \leq i, j, k \leq 3} \epsilon_{ijk} \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_k$$

# DIFFERENTIAL FORMS (Brief Introduction)

Recall that given a smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  
at  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can compute the directional derivative  
along the direction  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) =$

$$D_{\vec{v}}(f) = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f = v_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p) + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(p)$$

Since this holds for all smooth functions, we can define  
the operator

$$D_{\vec{v}} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} = v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

This expression indicates we can use a new notation for  
the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right\}$ .

i.e.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = (0, \dots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{ith}}}{1}, \dots, 0)$

Given a smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^n &\xrightarrow{df} (\mathbb{R}^n)^* & (\mathbb{R}^n)^* &\text{ is the dual space of } \mathbb{R}^n \\ p &\mapsto df(p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $df(p)$  acts on  $v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$df(p) \left( v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) = v_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p) + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(p)$$

Let  $x_i$  be the function  $x_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto p_i$

$$\text{Then } dx_i(p) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j}(p) = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

so  $\{dx_1(p), \dots, dx_n(p)\}$  forms the dual basis of  $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right\}$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$

This implies for each smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$df(p) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p) dx_1(p) + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(p) dx_n(p) \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

so  $\boxed{df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} dx_n}$ , called the differential of  $f$

In other words, the differential of  $f$  tells us how to write  $df$  as a linear combination of the basis  $\{dx_1, \dots, dx_n\}$  at each point.

**Definition** A differential  $k$ -form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a map  $w: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$

**Example**  $df$  is a differential 1-form.

A differential  $k$ -form  $w$  can be written as

$$w(p) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} w_{i_1 \dots i_k}(p) \cdot dx_{i_1}(p) \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}(p)$$

$$\text{i.e. } w = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} w_{i_1 \dots i_k} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

**Definition**  $w$  is a continuous/differentiable/smooth  $k$ -form if all the  $w_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  are continuous/differentiable/smooth functions.