Coupling a nano-particle with fluctuating hydrodynamics

Aleksandar Donev

Courant Institute, New York University & Pep Español, UNED, Madrid, Spain

Advances in theory and simulation of non-equilibrium systems NESC16, Sheffield, England July 2016

Levels of Coarse-Graining



Figure : From Pep Español, "Statistical Mechanics of Coarse-Graining".

• Key is the **velocity autocorrelation function** (VACF) for the immersed particle

$$C(t) = \langle \mathbf{V}(t_0) \cdot \mathbf{V}(t_0 + t) \rangle$$

- From equipartition theorem $C(0) = \langle V^2 \rangle = d k_B T/M$ for a compressible fluid, but for an incompressible fluid the kinetic energy of the particle that is **less than equipartition**.
- Hydrodynamic persistence (conservation) gives a **long-time** power-law tail $C(t) \sim (k_B T/M)(t/t_{visc})^{-3/2}$.
- Diffusion coefficient is given by the **integral of the VACF** and is hard to compute in MD even for a single nanocolloidal particle.

- Classical picture for the following dissipation process: Push a sphere suspended in a liquid with initial velocity $V_{th} \approx \sqrt{k_B T/M}$ and watch how the velocity decays:
 - Sound waves are generated from the sudden compression of the fluid and they take away a fraction of the kinetic energy during a sonic time $t_{sonic} \approx a/c$, where c is the (adiabatic) sound speed.
 - Viscous dissipation then takes over and slows the particle non-exponentially over a viscous time $t_{visc} \approx \rho a^2/\eta$, where η is the shear viscosity.
 - Thermal fluctuations get similarly dissipated, but their constant presence pushes the particle diffusively over a diffusion time $t_{diff} \approx a^2/D$, where

 $D \sim k_B T/(a\eta)$ (Stokes-Einstein relation).

• The mean collision time is $t_{coll} \approx \lambda/V_{th} \sim \eta/(\rho c^2)$,

$$t_{coll} \sim 10^{-15} s = 1 fs$$

• The sound time

$$t_{sonic} \sim \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1 ns \mbox{ for } a \sim \mu m \\ 1 ps \mbox{ for } a \sim nm \end{array}
ight., \mbox{ with gap } rac{t_{sonic}}{t_{coll}} \sim 10^2 - 10^5$$

• It is often said that sound waves do not contribute to the long-time diffusive dynamics because their contribution to the VACF integrates to zero.

• Viscous time estimates

$$t_{visc} \sim \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1\mu s \mbox{ for } a \sim \mu m \ 1\rho s \mbox{ for } a \sim nm \end{array}
ight., \mbox{ with gap } rac{t_{visc}}{t_{sonic}} \sim 1-10^3$$

• Finally, the diffusion time can be estimated to be

$$t_{diff} \sim \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1s \mbox{ for } a \sim \mu m \ 1ns \mbox{ for } a \sim nm \end{array}
ight., \mbox{ with gap } rac{t_{diff}}{t_{visc}} \sim 10^3 - 10^6$$

which can now reach macroscopic timescales!

• In practice the Schmidt number is very large,

$$Sc = \nu/D = t_{diff}/t_{visc} \gg 1,$$

which means the diffusive dynamics is overdamped.

Brownian Dynamics

• Overdamped equations of **Brownian Dynamics** (BD) for the particle positions **R**(*t*) are

$$\frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt} = \mathcal{M}\mathbf{F} + (2k_B T \mathcal{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{W}(t) + k_B T \left(\partial_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathcal{M}\right), \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(R) \succeq 0$ is the symmetric positive semidefinite (SPD) hydrodynamic mobility matrix.

Hydrodynamic mobility matrix is given by Green-Kubo formula

$$(k_B T) \mathcal{M}_{ij} = \int_0^\tau dt \, \langle \mathbf{V}_i(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}_j(t) \rangle^{\text{eq}} \,. \tag{2}$$

• The upper bound au must satisfy

$$au \gg rac{r_{ij}^2}{
u} \sim rac{L^2}{
u} \gg t_{
m visc},$$

so that the whole VACF power law tail is included in the integral. Therefore computing hydrodynamic interactions is infeasible with MD.

A. Donev (CIMS)

Hydrodynamic Diffusion Tensor

Since computing the hydrodynamic mobility is so difficult in MD, usually *M* is modeled by the Rotne-Prager mobility [1],

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} \approx \eta^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{a^2}{6} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2 \right) \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{a^2}{6} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}^2 \right) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \Big|_{\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{q}_i}^{\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q}_j}.$$

where G is the Green's function for the Stokes problem (**Oseen** tensor for infinite domain).

- This is not only an approximate closure neglecting a number of effects, but also requires an estimate of the effective hydrodynamic radius *a* as input.
- Our goal will be to split the integral into a short-time piece, computed by **feasible** MD via Green-Kubo integrals, and a long-time contribution, computed by fluctuating hydrodynamics coupled to an immersed particle.

"Old" approach: Particle/Continuum Hybrid



Figure : Hybrid method for a polymer chain.

A. Donev (CIMS)

VACF using a hybrid



- Split the domain into a **particle** and a **continuum (hydro) subdomains** [2].
- Particle solver is a coarse-grained fluid model (**Isotropic DSMC**).
- Hydro solver is a simple explicit (fluctuating) compressible fluctuating hydrodynamics code.
- Time scales are limited by the MD part despite increased efficiency.

Small Bead (~10 particles)



A. Donev (CIMS)

7/2016 11 / 21

Large Bead (~1000 particles)



A. Donev (CIMS)

New Approach: Fluctuating Hydrodynamics



Figure : Coarse-Graining a Nanoparticle: Schematic representation of a nanoparticle (left) surrounded by molecules of a simple liquid solvent (in blue). The shaded area around node μ located at \mathbf{r}_{μ} is the support of the finite element function $\psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$ and defines the hydrodynamic cell (right).

Notation

• Define an orthogonal set of basis functions,

$$\|\delta_{\mu}\psi_{\nu}\| = \delta_{\mu\nu},\tag{3}$$

where $||f|| \equiv \int d\mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r})$.

• Continuum fields which are interpolated from discrete "fields":

$$\overline{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})\rho_{\mu} \tag{4}$$

• Introduce a *regularized* Dirac delta function

•.

$$\Delta(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \equiv \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})\psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}') = \Delta(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$$
(5)

Note the exact properties

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = 1, \quad \int d\mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{r} \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}_{\mu}$$
(6)
$$\int d\mathbf{r}' \Delta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}') = \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$$

Slow variables

- Key to the Theory of Coarse-Graining is the proper selection of the relevant or **slow variables**.
- We assume that the **nanoparticle is smaller than hydrodynamic cells** and accordingly choose the coarse-grained variables [3],

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}(z = \{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}\}) = \mathbf{q}_0, \tag{7}$$

• We define the mass and momentum densities of the **hydrodynamic node** μ according to

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} m_i \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}_i), \quad \text{discrete of} \quad \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} m_i \delta(\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{r})$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mathbf{p}_i \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}_i), \quad \text{discrete of} \quad \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mathbf{p}_i \delta(\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{r})$$

where i = 0 labels the nanoparticle. Note that both mass and momentum densities **include the nanoparticle**!

A. Donev (CIMS)

Coarse Blob

Final Discrete (Closed) Equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt} = \overline{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{R}) - \frac{D_0}{k_B T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{R}} + \frac{D_0}{k_B T} \mathbf{F}^{\text{ext}} + \sqrt{2k_B T D_0} \, \mathcal{W}(t)$$

$$\frac{d\rho_{\mu}}{dt} = \|\overline{\rho} \, \overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \delta_{\mu}\|$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{g}_{\mu}}{dt} = \|\overline{\mathbf{g}} \, \overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \delta_{\mu}\| + k_B T \nabla \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{R}) - \|\delta_{\mu} \nabla P\| + \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{F}^{\text{ext}}$$

$$+ \eta \|\delta_{\mu} \nabla^2 \overline{\mathbf{v}}\| + \left(\frac{\eta}{3} + \zeta\right) \|\delta_{\mu} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{v}})\| + \frac{d\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\mu}}{dt} \qquad (8)$$

The pressure equation of state is modeled by

$$P(\mathbf{r}) \simeq \frac{c^2}{2\rho_{\rm eq}} \left(\overline{\rho}(\mathbf{r})^2 - \rho_{\rm eq}^2 \right) + m_0 \frac{(c_0^2 - c^2)}{\rho_{\rm eq}} \Delta(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) \overline{\rho}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{9}$$

and the gradient of the free energy is modeled by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \simeq m_0 \frac{(c_0^2 - c^2)}{\rho_{\rm eq}} \int d\mathbf{r} \Delta(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\nabla} \overline{\rho}(\mathbf{r}).$$
(10)

Final Continuum Equations

The same equations can be obtained from a Petrov-Galerkin discretization of the following system of the fluctuating hydrodynamics SPDEs

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{R} = \int d\mathbf{r}\Delta(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{D_0}{k_B T}\mathbf{F}^{\text{ext}} + \sqrt{2k_B T D_0} \,\mathcal{W}(t) - \frac{D_0}{k_B T} \frac{m_0(c_0^2 - c^2)}{\rho_{\text{eq}}} \int d\mathbf{r}\Delta(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{r})\nabla\rho(\mathbf{r}) \partial_t \rho(\mathbf{r},t) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} \partial_t \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v}) - k_B T \nabla\Delta(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) - \nabla P(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{F}^{\text{ext}}\Delta(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \left(\frac{\eta}{3} + \zeta\right) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\alpha\beta}$$
(11)

where $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{g}/\rho$, and the pressure is given by

$$P(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{c^2}{2\rho_{\rm eq}} \left(\rho(\mathbf{r})^2 - \rho_{\rm eq}^2\right) + \frac{m_0(c_0^2 - c^2)}{\rho_{\rm eq}} \Delta(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})$$
(12)

Diffusion Coefficient

• The scalar bare diffusion coefficient is grid-dependent,

$$D_{0} = \frac{1}{d} \int_{0}^{\tau} dt \left\langle \delta \hat{\mathbf{V}}(0) \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{V}}(t) \right\rangle_{\text{eq}}$$
(13)

where the particle excess velocity over the fluid is

1

$$\delta \hat{\mathbf{V}} = \hat{\mathbf{V}} - \left\langle \hat{\mathbf{V}} \right\rangle^{\hat{\mathbf{R}}\hat{
ho}\hat{\mathbf{g}}} pprox \hat{\mathbf{V}} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{R}).$$

- The crucial point is that now the integration time τ ≫ h²/ν, where h is the grid spacing, is accessible in MD.
- The true or **renormalized diffusion coefficient** [4] *should* be **grid-independent**,

$$egin{split} D &= D_0 + \Delta D pprox D_0 + rac{1}{d} \int_0^ au dt ig\langle oldsymbol{ar{v}}(oldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}(0)) \cdot oldsymbol{ar{v}}(oldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}(t)) ig
angle^{ ext{eq}} \ &pprox D_0 + rac{1}{d} \int_0^\infty dt \; \psi_\mu(oldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}) ig\langle oldsymbol{v}_\mu(0) \cdot oldsymbol{v}_{\mu'}(t) ig
angle^{ ext{eq}}_{oldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}} \psi_{\mu'}(oldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}) \end{split}$$

Numerical VACF



Figure : VACF for a neutrally buoyant particle for $D_0 = 0$ and $c = c_0$, from coupling a **finite-volume** fluctuating hydrodynamic solver [5, 6].

Conclusions

- We considered the problem of modeling the Brownian motion of a solvated nanocolloidal particle over a range of time scales.
- Hydrodynamic scales are not accessible in direct MD so coarse-grained models are necessary.
- If one eliminates the solvent DOFs one obtains a *long-memory non-Markovian* SDE in the inertial case or a *long-ranged* overdamped SDE in the Brownian limit.
- If **fluctuating hydrodynamic variables** are retained in the description, one obtains a *large system of Markovian S(P)DEs*.
- A concurrent **hybrid coupling approach** couples MD directly to fluctuating hydrodynamics; **time scales are limited by the MD**.
- We derive coarse-grained equations by a combination of Mori-Zwanzig with physically-informed modeling.
- It remains to actually try this in practice and see what range of effects can be captured correctly and efficiently.
 It also remains to generalize this to a denser suspension of colloids.

References



S. Delong, F. Balboa Usabiaga, R. Delgado-Buscalioni, B. E. Griffith, and A. Donev. Brownian Dynamics without Green's Functions. J. Chem. Phys., 140(13):134110, 2014. Software available at https://eithub.com/stochasticHvdroTools/FIB.



A. Donev, J. B. Bell, A. L. Garcia, and B. J. Alder.

A hybrid particle-continuum method for hydrodynamics of complex fluids. SIAM J. Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, 8(3):871–911, 2010.

P. Español and A. Donev.

Coupling a nano-particle with isothermal fluctuating hydrodynamics: Coarse-graining from microscopic to mesoscopic dynamics.

J. Chem. Phys., 143(23), 2015.

A. Donev, T. G. Fai, and E. Vanden-Eijnden.

A reversible mesoscopic model of diffusion in liquids: from giant fluctuations to Fick's law. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment, 2014(4):P04004, 2014.



F. Balboa Usabiaga, R. Delgado-Buscalioni, B. E. Griffith, and A. Donev. Inertial Coupling Method for particles in an incompressible fluctuating fluid. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 269:139–172, 2014. Code available at https://github.com/fbusabiaga/fluam.



F. Balboa Usabiaga, X. Xie, R. Delgado-Buscalioni, and A. Donev. The Stokes-Einstein Relation at Moderate Schmidt Number. J. Chem. Phys., 139(21):214113, 2013.