Coarse-grained particle, continuum and hybrid models for complex fluids

Aleksandar Donev¹

Courant Institute, New York University

&

Berni J. Alder, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Alejandro L. Garcia, San Jose State University John B. Bell, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

¹This work performed in part under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

Multiscale Simulation of Heterogeneous Materials and Coupling of Thermodynamic Models, Leuven, Belgium January 13th 2011

Outline

Introduction

- 2 Particle Methods
- 3 Coarse Graining
- 4 Fluctuating Hydrodynamics
- 5 Hybrid Particle-Continuum Method
 - Brownian Bead
 - Adiabatic Piston
- 6 Nonequilibrium Fluctuations

Micro- and nano-hydrodynamics

- Flows of fluids (gases and liquids) through micro- (μm) and nano-scale (nm) structures has become technologically important, e.g., micro-fluidics, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS).
- Biologically-relevant flows also occur at micro- and nano- scales.
- The flows of interest often include **suspended particles**: colloids, polymers (e.g., DNA), blood cells, bacteria: **complex fluids**.
- Essential distinguishing feature from "ordinary" CFD: thermal fluctuations!

Example: DNA Filtering



Fu et al., Nature Nanotechnology 2 (2007)



H. Craighead, Nature 442 (2006)

Introduction

Polymer chains



Johan Padding, Cambridge

- Consider modeling of a polymer chain in a flowing solution, for example, DNA in a micro-array.
- The detailed structure of the polymer chain is usually **coarse-grained** to a model of spherical **beads**.
- E.g., Kuhn segments of the chain are represented as **spherical beads** connected by non-linear elastic springs (FENE, worm-like, etc.)

The issue: How to coarse grain the fluid (solvent) and couple it to the suspended structures?

Introduction

Our approach: Particle/Continuum Hybrid



Figure: Hybrid method for a polymer chain.

Particle Methods

Particle Methods for Complex Fluids

• The most direct and accurate way to simulate the interaction between the **solvent** (fluid) and **solute** (beads, chain) is to use a particle scheme for both: **Molecular Dynamics (MD)**

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i = \sum_j \mathbf{f}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$$

- The stiff repulsion among beads demands small time steps, and chain-chain crossings are a problem.
- Most of the computation is "wasted" on the *unimportant solvent particles*!
- Over longer times it is **hydrodynamics** (*local momentum* and energy **conservation**) and **fluctuations** (Brownian motion) that matter.
- We need to coarse grain the fluid model further: *Replace* deterministic interactions with stochastic ones.

Particle Methods

Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC)



(MNG)

Tethered polymer chain in shear flow [1].

- Stochastic conservative collisions of randomly chosen nearby solvent particles, as in DSMC (also related to MPCD/SRD).
- Solute particles still interact with **both** solvent and other solute particles as hard or soft spheres [2].
- No fluid structure: Viscous ideal gas.
- One can introduce biased collision models to give the fluids consisten structure and a **non-ideal equation of state**. [3, 4].

The Need for Coarse-Graining

• In order to examine the time-scales involved, we focus on a fundamental problem:

A single bead of size a and density ρ' suspended in a stationary fluid with density ρ and viscosity η (**Brownian walker**).

- By increasing the size of the bead obviously the **number of solvent particles** increases as $N \sim a^3$. But this is not the biggest problem (we have large supercomputers).
- The real issue is that a wide **separation of timescales occurs**: The *gap between the timescales of microscopic and macroscopic* processes widens as the bead becomes much bigger than the solvent particles (water molecules).
- Typical bead sizes are nm (nano-colloids, short polymers) or μm (colloids, DNA), while typical atomistic sizes are $1\text{\AA} = 0.1nm$.

- Classical picture for the following dissipation process: Push a sphere suspended in a liquid with initial velocity $V_{th} \approx \sqrt{kT/M}$, $M \approx \rho' a^3$, and watch how the velocity decays:
 - Sound waves are generated from the sudden compression of the fluid and they take away a fraction of the kinetic energy during a sonic time $t_{sonic} \approx a/c$, where c is the (adiabatic) sound speed.
 - Viscous dissipation then takes over and slows the particle non-exponentially over a viscous time $t_{visc} \approx \rho a^2/\eta$, where η is the shear viscosity. Note that the classical Langevin time scale $t_{Lang} \approx m/\eta a$ applies only to unrealistically dense beads!
 - Thermal fluctuations get similarly dissipated, but their constant presence pushes the particle diffusively over a diffusion time $t_{diff} \approx a^2/D$, where $D \sim kT/(a\eta)$.

Timescale Estimates

• The mean collision time is $t_{coll} \approx \lambda/v_{th} \sim \eta/(\rho c^2)$, where the thermal velocity is $v_{th} \approx \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m}}$, for water

$$t_{coll} \sim 10^{-15} s = 1 fs$$

• The sound time

$$t_{sonic} \sim \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1ns \mbox{ for } a \sim \mu m \\ 1ps \mbox{ for } a \sim nm \end{array}
ight., \mbox{ with gap } rac{t_{sonic}}{t_{coll}} \sim rac{a}{\lambda} \sim 10^2 - 10^5$$

• Viscous time estimates

$$t_{visc} \sim \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1 \mu s \mbox{ for } a \sim \mu m \\ 1
m ps \mbox{ for } a \sim nm \end{array}
ight.$$
, with gap $rac{t_{visc}}{t_{sonic}} \sim \sqrt{C} rac{a}{\lambda} \sim 1 - 10^3$

• Finally, the diffusion time can be estimated to be

$$t_{diff} \sim \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1s \,\, {
m for} \,\, a \sim \mu m \ 1ns \,\, {
m for} \,\, a \sim nm \end{array} , \,\, {
m with} \,\, {
m gap} \,\, rac{t_{diff}}{t_{visc}} \sim rac{a}{\phi R} \sim 10^3 - 10^6 \end{array}
ight.$$

which can now reach macroscopic timescales!

Coarse Graining

Levels of Coarse-Graining



Figure: From Pep Español, "Statistical Mechanics of Coarse-Graining"

Fluctuating Hydrodynamics Continuum Models of Fluid Dynamics

• Formally, we consider the continuum field of conserved quantities

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{r},t) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \mathbf{j} \\ e \end{bmatrix} \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{i} \begin{bmatrix} m_{i} \\ m_{i} \upsilon_{i} \\ m_{i} \upsilon_{i}^{2}/2 \end{bmatrix} \delta \left[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}(t)\right],$$

where the symbol \cong means that $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ approximates the true atomistic configuration $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ over **long length and time scales**.

- Formal coarse-graining of the microscopic dynamics has been performed to derive an **approximate closure** for the macroscopic dynamics [5].
- This leads to **SPDEs of Langevin type** formed by postulating a random flux term in the usual Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations with magnitude determined from the **fluctuation-dissipation balance** condition, following Landau and Lifshitz.

The SPDEs of Fluctuating Hydrodynamics

• Due to the **microscopic conservation** of mass, momentum and energy,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U} = - \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot [\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{Z}] = - \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot [\mathbf{F}_H(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{F}_D(\mathbf{\nabla}\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}],$$

where the flux is broken into a **hyperbolic**, **diffusive**, and a **stochastic flux**.

 \bullet Here $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}$ is spatio-temporal white noise, i.e., a Gaussian random field with covariance

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_i(\mathbf{r},t)\mathcal{W}_j^{\star}(\mathbf{r},t')\rangle = (\delta_{ij})\,\delta(t-t')\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}').$$

• Adding stochastic fluxes to the **non-linear** NS equations produces **ill-behaved stochastic PDEs** (solution is too irregular), but we will ignore that for now...

Fluctuating Hydrodynamics

Compressible Fluctuating Hydrodynamics

$$D_t \rho = -\rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

$$\rho (D_t \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot (\eta \overline{\nabla} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

$$\rho c_p (D_t T) = D_t P + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla T + \mathbf{\Xi}) + (\eta \overline{\nabla} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{\Sigma}) : \nabla \mathbf{v},$$

where the variables are the **density** ρ , **velocity v**, and **temperature** T fields,

$$D_t \Box = \partial_t \Box + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla (\Box)$$
$$\overline{\nabla} \mathbf{v} = (\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T) - 2 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{I}/3$$

and capital Greek letters denote stochastic fluxes:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sqrt{2\eta k_B T} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}.$$

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t) \mathcal{W}_{kl}^{\star}(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - 2\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}/3) \,\delta(t - t') \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

Fluctuating Hydrodynamics

Incompressible Fluctuating Navier-Stokes

• Ignoring density and temperature fluctuations, we obtain the incompressible approximation:

$$\rho D_t \mathbf{v} = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla \pi + \sqrt{2\eta k_B T} \left(\nabla \cdot \mathcal{W} \right),$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$$

where the stochastic stress tensor ${\cal W}$ is a white-noise random Gaussian tensor field with covariance

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_{ij}(\mathbf{r},t)\mathcal{W}_{kl}^{\star}(\mathbf{r}',t')\rangle = (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl})\,\delta(t-t')\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}').$$

- We have algorithms and codes to solve the compressible equations, and we are now working on the incompressible ones.
- Solving them numerically requires paying attention to **discrete fluctuation-dissipation balance**, in addition to the usual deterministic difficulties [6].

Hybrid Particle-Continuum Method

Solute-Solvent Coupling using Particles

- Split the domain into a **particle** and a **continuum (hydro) subdomains**, with timesteps $\Delta t_H = K \Delta t_P$.
- Hydro solver is a simple explicit (fluctuating) compressible LLNS code and is *not aware* of particle patch.
- The method is based on Adaptive Mesh and Algorithm Refinement (AMAR) methodology for conservation laws and ensures **strict conservation** of mass, momentum, *and* energy.

Continuum-Particle Coupling

- Each macro (hydro) cell is either **particle or continuum**. There is also a **reservoir region** surrounding the particle subdomain.
- The coupling is roughly of the **state-flux** form:
 - The continuum solver provides *state boundary conditions* for the particle subdomain via reservoir particles.
 - The particle subdomain provides *flux boundary conditions* for the continuum subdomain.
- The fluctuating hydro solver is **oblivious** to the particle region: Any conservative explicit finite-volume scheme can trivially be substituted.
- The coupling is greatly simplified because the particle fluid is ideal (no internal structure): **No overlap region**.

"A hybrid particle-continuum method for hydrodynamics of complex fluids", A. Donev and J. B. Bell and A. L. Garcia and B. J. Alder, **SIAM J. Multiscale Modeling and Simulation 8(3):871-911, 2010**

Hybrid Algorithm

Steps of the coupling algorithm [7]:

- The hydro solution is computed everywhere, including the **particle patch**, giving an estimated total flux Φ_H .
- Reservoir particles are *inserted* at the boundary of the particle patch based on *Chapman-Enskog distribution* from kinetic theory, accounting for *both* collisional and kinetic viscosities.
- Seservoir particles are propagated by Δt and collisions are processed (including virtual particles!), giving the total particle flux Φ_p.
- The hydro solution is overwritten in the particle patch based on the particle state u_p.
- **③** The hydro solution is corrected based on the more accurate flux, $\mathbf{u}_H \leftarrow \mathbf{u}_H - \mathbf{\Phi}_H + \mathbf{\Phi}_p$.

Velocity Autocorrelation Function

 We investigate the velocity autocorrelation function (VACF) for a Brownian bead

$$C(t) = 2d^{-1} \langle \mathbf{v}(t_0) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t_0+t) \rangle$$

- From equipartition theorem $C(0) = k_B T / M$.
- For a **neutrally-boyant** particle, $\rho' = \rho$, incompressible hydrodynamic theory gives $C(0) = 2k_BT/3M$ because the momentum correlations decay instantly due to sound waves.
- Hydrodynamic persistence (conservation) gives a long-time power-law tail $C(t) \sim (k_B T/M)(t/t_{visc})^{-3/2}$ not reproduced in Brownian dynamics.

VACF



The adiabatic piston problem

MNG

Relaxation Toward Equilibrium



Figure: Massive rigid piston (M/m = 4000) not in mechanical equilibrium: The deterministic hybrid gives the wrong answer!

A. Donev (CIMS)

Jan 2011 29 / 42

VACF for Piston



Figure: The VACF for a rigid piston of mas M/m = 1000 at thermal equilibrium: Increasing the width of the particle region does not help: One must include the thermal fluctuations in the continuum solver!

A. Donev (CIMS)

Fluctuations in the presence of gradients

- At **equilibrium**, hydrodynamic fluctuations have non-trivial temporal correlations, but there are no spatial correlations between any variables.
- When macroscopic gradients are present, however, **long-ranged correlated fluctuations** appear.
- Consider a binary mixture of fluids and consider concentration fluctuations around a steady state c₀(r):

$$c(\mathbf{r},t) = c_0(\mathbf{r}) + \delta c(\mathbf{r},t)$$

The concentration fluctuations are advected by the random velocities v(r, t), approximately:

$$(\delta c)_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c_0 = D \nabla^2 (\delta c) + \sqrt{2Dk_B T} (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{W}_c)$$

• The velocity fluctuations drive and amplify the concentration fluctuations leading to so-called **giant fluctuations**.

A. Donev (CIMS)

Equilibrium versus Non-Equilibrium

Results obtained using our fluctuating continuum compressible solver.



Concentration for a mixture of two (heavier red and lighter blue) fluids at **equilibrium**, in the presence of gravity.



No gravity but a similar **non-equilibrium** concentration gradient is imposed via the boundary conditions. Nonequilibrium Fluctuations

Giant Fluctuations during diffusive mixing



Figure: Snapshots of the concentration during the diffusive mixing of two fluids (red and blue) at t = 1 (top), t = 4 (middle), and t = 10 (bottom), starting from a flat interface (phase-separated system) at t = 0.

Nonequilibrium Fluctuations

Giant Fluctuations in Experiments



Figure: Experimental snapshots of the steady-state concentration fluctuations in a solution of polystyrene in water with a strong concentration gradient imposed via a stabilizing temperature gradient, in Earth gravity (left), and in microgravity (right) [private correspondence with Roberto Cerbino]. The strong enhancement of the fluctuations in microgravity is evident.

Fluctuation-Enhanced Diffusion Coefficient

- We study the following simple model steady-state system: A quasi-two dimensional mixture of identical but labeled (as components 1 and 2) fluids is enclosed in a box of lengths L_x × L_y × L_z, where L_z ≪ L_{x/y}. Periodic boundary conditions are applied in the × (horizontal) and z (depth) directions, and impermeable constant-temperature walls are placed at the top and bottom boundaries. A weak constant concentration gradient ∇c₀ = g_cŷ is imposed along the y axes by enforcing constant concentration boundary conditions at the top and bottom walls.
- Incompressible (isothermal) linearized fluctuating hydrodynamics is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\delta c\right)_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} c_0 &= -D\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \left(\delta c\right) + \sqrt{2Dk_B T} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_c\right) \\ \rho \mathbf{v}_t &= \eta \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \pi + \sqrt{2\eta k_B T} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}\right) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Nonequilibrium Fluctuations

Fluctuation-Enhanced Diffusion Coefficient

• Solve in Fourier space to obtain the correlations (static structure factors) between velocity and concentration fluctuations:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{c,v_y}\left(\mathbf{k}
ight)=\langle(\widehat{\delta c})(\widehat{v}_y^{\star})
angle\sim-\left(k_{\perp}^2k^{-4}
ight)g_c,$$

which are seen to **diverge at small wavenumbers** k.

• The nonlinear concentration equation includes a contribution to the mass flux due to **advection by the fluctuating velocities**,

$$\partial_t \left(\delta c \right) + \rho_0 \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} c_0 = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\mathbf{j} + \boldsymbol{\Psi} \right) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left[D_0 \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\delta c \right) - \rho_0 \left(\delta c \right) \mathbf{v} \right] + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi},$$

where we have denoted the so-called **bare diffusion coefficient** with D_0 .

 To leading order, the renormalized diffusion coefficient includes a fluctuation enhancement ΔD due to thermal velocity fluctuations,

$$\langle \mathbf{j} \rangle \approx (D_0 + \Delta D) \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} c_0 = \left[D_0 - (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \widehat{S}_{c, v_y} \left(\mathbf{k} \right) d\mathbf{k} \right] \boldsymbol{\nabla} c_0.$$

Nonequilibrium Fluctuations

Fluctuation-Enhanced Diffusion Coefficient

- The effective transport coefficient D_{eff} = D₀ + ΔD depends on the small wavenumber cutoff k_{min} ~ 2π/L, where L is the system size.
- For our quasi two-dimensional model, assuming $L_x \ll L_y$, one obtains [8] a logarithmic growth of the fluctuation-renormalized diffusion coefficient

$$\Delta D \approx k_B T \left[4\pi \rho (\chi_0 + \nu) L_z \right]^{-1} \ln L_x.$$

• This can be **tested in particle simulations** by calculating the mass current of the first fluid component:

$$\langle j_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle = \langle \rho_1 \mathbf{v}_{1,\mathbf{y}} \rangle = \langle \rho_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{v}_{1,\mathbf{y}} \rangle + \langle (\delta \rho_1) (\delta \mathbf{v}_{1,\mathbf{y}}) \rangle,$$

defining a splitting of the total mass transfer into a **diffusive or bare** and an **advective or fluctuation** piece:

$$\langle
ho_1 v_{1,y}
angle = D_{eff} \left(\nabla_y c_0
ight)$$

 $\langle
ho_1
angle \langle v_{1,y}
angle = D_0 \left(\nabla c
ight)$

Particle Results



Figure: Fluctuating hydro correctly predicts the dependence on system size!

A. Donev (CIMS)

- **Coarse-grained particle methods** can be used to accelerate hydrodynamic calculations at small scales.
- **Hybrid particle continuum methods** closely reproduce purely particle simulations at a fraction of the cost.
- It is **necessary to include fluctuations** in the continuum subdomain in hybrid methods.
- Advection by the fluctuating velocities fields leads to some very interesting physics and mathematics, such as giant fluctuations and renormalized transport coefficients.

Future Directions

- Improve and implement in a public-domain code the stochastic **particle methods** (parallelize, add chemistry, analyze theoretically).
- Develop numerical schemes for **incompressible** and **Low-Mach Number** fluctuating hydrodynamics.
- Theoretical work on the **equations of fluctuating hydrodynamics**: regularization, renormalization, systematic coarse-graining.
- **Direct fluid-structure coupling** between fluctuating hydrodynamics and microstructure (solute beads).
- Ultimately we require an Adaptive Mesh and Algorithm Refinement (AMAR) framework that couples a particle model (micro), with compressible fluctuating Navier-Stokes (meso), and incompressible or low Mach CFD (macro).

References



.

Y. Zhang, A. Donev, T. Weisgraber, B. J. Alder, M. D. Graham, and J. J. de Pablo. Tethered DNA Dynamics in Shear Flow. *J. Chem. Phys*, 130(23):234902, 2009.





A. Donev, A. L. Garcia, and B. J. Alder.

Stochastic Hard-Sphere Dynamics for Hydrodynamics of Non-Ideal Fluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 101:075902, 2008.



A. Donev, A. L. Garcia, and B. J. Alder.

A Thermodynamically-Consistent Non-Ideal Stochastic Hard-Sphere Fluid. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment, 2009(11):P11008, 2009.



P. Español.

Stochastic differential equations for non-linear hydrodynamics. *Physica A*, 248(1-2):77–96, 1998.



A. Donev, E. Vanden-Eijnden, A. L. Garcia, and J. B. Bell.

On the Accuracy of Explicit Finite-Volume Schemes for Fluctuating Hydrodynamics. Communications in Applied Mathematics and Computational Science, 5(2):149–197, 2010.



A. Donev, J. B. Bell, A. L. Garcia, and B. J. Alder.

A hybrid particle-continuum method for hydrodynamics of complex fluids. *SIAM J. Multiscale Modeling and Simulation*, 8(3):871–911, 2010.



D. Brogioli and A. Vailati.

Diffusive mass transfer by nonequilibrium fluctuations: Fick's law revisited. *Phys. Rev. E*, 63(1):12105, 2000.